## NON COMPOS MENTIS:

OR, THE

# L A W

RELATING TO

# NATURAL FOOLS, MAD-FOLKS, and LUNATICK PERSONS,

Inquisited, and Explained, for Common Benefit.

By 70 HN BRTD. ALL, Efq;
of LINCOLN'S-INN.

SENECA, Lib. xIV. Epistolarum, Epist. 94.

Siquis furioso præcepta det, quomodo loqui debeat, quomodo procedere, apmodo in publico se gerere, quomodo in privato prioso, quem monebit, insanior.

## LUNDON:

Printed by the Assigns of Richard and Edward Atkins, Esquires; for Isaac Cleave, at the Star, next Serjeants-Inn, in Chancery-Lane. 1700.

1x 15916240 Res. Apr. 13,1896.

# AUTHOR

TO THE

# READER

Seing there have been exposed to Publick View, a couple of Tracts, the one entituled, The Woman's Lawyer; and the other stiled, The Infant's Lawyer; I have been induced to the Publication of this perexiguous siece, and have named it, The Law of Non Compos Mentis: It being no other dan a Collection (methodically digested) of such Laws, with the Cases, Opinions, and Resolutions, of our common Law Sages, as do properly concern the Rights of all such, as are wholly destitute of Reason: Some

## The Author to the Reader.

whereof are become so by a perpetual Infirmity, as Idiots, or Fools Natural: Some, who were once of good and sound Memory, but by the Visitation of God, are deprived of it, as Persons, in a high Degree, Distracted: Some, that have their lucid Intervals, (sometimes in their Wits, sometimes out,) as Lunatick Persons: And some, who are made so by their own Default; as Persons overcome with Drink, who during the time of their Drunkenness, are compared to Mad-Folks. All which Sorts of Non Compos Mentis, are the Subject Matter of the ensuing Sheets.

I shall no longer detain the Reader from the perusal of them, than by tendering him the good Advice, given by an ingenious Author, touching Witless Persons; which is as follows:

'Take

## The Author to the Reader.

al

! :

nd

n

IS,

e,

:-

,)

0

IS

0

'Take no Pleasure in the Folly of an Idiot, nor in the Fancy of a Lunatick, nor in the Frenzy of a Drunkard; make them the Object of thy Pity, not of thy Pastime. When thou beholdest them, behold, how thou art beholding to Him, that suffered thee not to be like them. This wholsome Counsel of his, to embrace, will be look'd on as an Act of Prudence: But to reject it, will be such a piece of Folly, as will undoubtedly bring him, h that shall be guilty of it, under the e hard Sentence, of our old English Proverb, Let him be begg'd for a Fool.

A 3

The

1081 571. -101 HW LANGT con 10 % anni sone alique sone anni A Average Services -marine and become a contract . At Wall of the

# TABLE

OF THE

# QUERIES,

RELATING,

First, To IDIOTS.

- I. If the King commit the Body, or Estate, of an Idiot, to J.S. to do with him as he pleases, whether this Grant be good?
- 2. Whether the King shall have the Mean Profits, from the time of the first Seisure of the Idiot, or from the time of the Office found?
- 3. At what time was the Prerogative in the Custody of Idiots Lands conferred on the Crown, during the Life of an Idiot, or Natural Fool?

A 4

4. Whe-

# A Table of the Queries.

4. Whether the Ter-Tenant shall be allowed to Traverse

bim by the King?	32
5. Whether there be any Diversity in the Case of the I to answer either to the Tenure, or the Possession?	King,
6. Whether an Idiot, or Fool Natural, can be bount the Sale of his Goods, in Market Overt?	d by
7. Whether a Stranger may tender Money in Perform of a Condition to save the Estate of an Idiot?	33
8. If an Idiot should make his Testament wisely, reasonably, to the Shew, whether this Testame his be good, or not?	and nt of
9. If an Idiot above the Age of 21 Years, makes a liment in Fee of his Inheritance, how, and in manner that Feoffment may be avoided during Life?	wbat
10. A Fine levied by an Idiot, what it operates?	40
11. A Fine levied by J. S. Uncle of an Idiot, who feised of an Inheritance, (the said J. S. dying in Life of H. the Idiot), whether this Fine so leven bar the Grand-Child of J. S.?	n the
12. Whether the Custody of an Idiot, holding by Co Gourt-Roll, belongs to the King by his Prerogative to the Lord of the Copyhold Mannor?	
	73772

### A Table of the Queries.

3. Whether there be any Difference between an Estate made in Person, or by Attorney, as to an Idiot, or any other Non Compos Mentis?

45

t

2

2

l

- 4. A Man dies seised of Land, his Heir being an Idiot, and before Office he levies a Fine, whether the King shall have the Custody of the Lands, or not?
- 5. Idiots in the Custody of the Prince, whether such Custody can be devised by the Testator?

  47
- 6. Idiocy, whether in any Case triable in the Ecclesiastical Court?
- 7. An Executor baving obtained Judgment in an Accompt, and having the Defendant in Execution for Arrearages, and the Testament being afterwards annulled for Idiocy in the Testator, whether the Testament being disapproved, an Audita Querela will lie for the Defendant?
- 8. Whether an Attorhment made to a Grant by an Idiot, or other Non Compos Mentis, can be good in Law? 50
- 9. Whether an Inquisition shall bind an Idiot, without an Examination by the Council?
- o. No Possessions in Lands descending to an Idiot, but only a Right, whether the King can enter, and have the Custody of it?

Secondly,

## Secondly, To Persons Furious, or Distracted

- 1. VV Hether Madness, or Insanity of Mind, ought to be proved by him that objecteth the same?
- 2. Madness, before the making of a Testament, whether it can be presumed to continue?
- 3. Furor, or Madness, whether hard to be proved ? 68
- 4. Madness, whether it may be proved by singular Witness?
- 5. Whether the Grant of a Copyhold Estate, made by the Lord of the Copyhold Mannor, that is a Mad-man, can be good in Law?
- 6. A Mad-man being seised of Land, and granting a Rent-Charge out of this same Land, dies, his Heir entring, and the Grantee distraining for Rent-Arrears, whether the Heir may bring an Action of Tre-spass?
- 7. A Man of Sane Memorie, seised of Land, makes a Feoffment; and after, when he is hesides himself, or distracted, makes a Letter of Attorney for Livery of Seisin, which is executed accordingly, the Feoffor dies; whether the Heir may lawfully enter upon the Feoffee?

# A Table of the Queries.

bi 66

ber 67

ît-69

the an

rs,

or of es;

be-

8. Whether the Entry of the Heir of a Furor Man lawful, maugre a Descent had in the Life of his cestors?	be An-
9. What kind of Privies can disable him, who was prived of the Use of Reason, and Understanding? by whom, and what Acts done by a Mad-man, can avoided?	Or
10. Whether a Man Distracted, or out of his Wits relievable in a Court of Equity, to avoid a Deed n by himself?	the second second
11. Whether this Maxim, That the Party cannot dis bimself, shall hold good in Criminal Causes, as Fe Murther, and Petit Treason?	
12. If a Man while he is Non Compos Mentis, del himself, whether he can be said to be Felo de se?	
13. Whether he that is Non Compos Mentis, and to deprived of all Compassings, and Imaginations, commit High-Treason, by Compassing and Imagin the Death of the King?	can
14. Whether a Mad-man be punishable in Trespass?	83
15. Whether a Furor Man can be a Purchaser?	84
16. Whether the Will of one that afterwards bee Mad, or Distracted, shall stand good in Law?	omes 84
17. Whether a Fine before a Judge of Non sane M rie, or a Grant of an Office made by him, be go Law?	emo- od in 86

#### A Table of the Queries.

- 18. A Mad-man makes an Exchange of his Lands with J. S. for other Lands, and the Exchange is accordingly executed; the Non sane Man dies, whether his Heir can avoid this Exchange?
- 19. Whether, and in what Cases, Lachess can prejudice an Idiot, Mad-man, or other Non Compos Mentis? 87
- 20. Whether he that is a Furor Man, can be appointed Tutor?
- 21. Whether a King, or other Sovereign Prince, during the time of his Insanity of Mind, he capable of making Peace?
- 22. Whether it be lawful to speak Untruth to Mad-men?

Thirdly,

ly ir

ce.

37

ed 9

E

0

0

# Thirdly, To LUNATICKS.

. T.	T Hetber to	be Testam	ent made by a	Lunatich.
V	V during	bis mad	ent made by a Fits, be valid	in Law,
wben	be is come to	bimself?	15. 3 202	103

- 2. Whether a Testament can be made by a Lunatick Person betwixt his Fits?
- 3. If a Testament be made by a Lunatick Person, and the time of the making unknown, whether this Testament be good or not?
- 4. Whether the Dying seised of a Bastard Eigne, without Interruption, shall har the Right of a Mulier Puisne, that is a Mad-man, or a Lunatick?
- 5. Whether a Lunatick can be prejudiced by Laches of Juing Livery?
- 6. Whether a Lunatick be punishable for harting a Man?
- 7. Whether a Devise by a Lunatick, be aided by the Statute of 43 Eliz. Of charitable Uses?
- 8. Actions touching a Lunatick's Lands, whether they must be brought in his own Name? 108
  - 9. Wbe-

### A Table of the Queries.

9	Whether the	Lord of a	Man	nor can gra	ent the C	uftody
	of a Copibold,	belonging	to a	Lunatick,	without	a Spe-
	cial Custom?					109

- 10. Whether the Acts of a Lunatick, during his Intermissions, or lucid Intervals, be binding?
- 11. Whether the King, who is to keep the Lunatick, his Wife, and Children, with the Profits of the Lands, can grant them over to the proper use of another Person?
- 12. Whether the Committee of a Lunatick, can grant Copybold Estates?
- 13. If a Dean of Paul's bappen to be a Lunatick, who shall have the Custody of him?
- 14. If a Man, in Criminal Cases, be suspected to counterfeit Madness, or Lunacy, bow shall it be discerned, whether be be mad, or not?
- 15. A Bargain by a Lunatick, before the Lunacy found, whether avoidable, by being found a Lunatick, with a Retrospect of several Years?
- 16. Whether the View of Land, according to the Statute of Westm. 2. c. 48. he grantable in all Cases to Infants, to Men in Prison, to Lunaticks, or such like.

Fourthly,

# Fourthly, To DRUNKARDS.

- good Plea in the Courts at Westminster, ither in Criminal, or Civil Acts?
- 2. A Drunken Person, whether he may make a Testament?
- 3. Such as violate the good Name of others, with opprobrious Words, through Weakness of their Brain, either by Frenzy, Drink, or other Lightness, how are they to be dealt withal?

The Reader is desired to Correct these Faults, before be begins: Other minuter Faults, of literal Errors, and Pointings, it is expected his Candor will pass over.

I Nstead of Doth the Law, read, Doth not the Law, p. 2.1. 22. Instead of Br. 4. r. Br. Idiot 4. p. 23. Instead of an Ast, r.
Trast, p. 26. Instead of Thompson's Case, r. Tourson's Case, p. 27.
Instead of any Man make, r. any Man may make. p. 34. Instead of
a Man seised, r. a Man died seised, p. 47. Instead of being seised
of a Carve of Land in Fee. r. being seised of a Carve of Land, grant
a Rent issuing out of the same Land in Fee, p. 70. Instead of tuentur,
r. tenentur, p. 77. Instead of de prodictionibus, r. de actionibus,
p, 72. Instead of detus, r. vetus, p. 94.

# L A W

# NON COMPOS MENTIS,

Inquifited and Explained.

to persons of Non Sane Memorie, I shall by way of Introduction shew the Reader upon what Right the Dominion of Infants, Idiots and Mad-men is grounded. In performance whereof, I must be beholding to Hugo Gretius (that Prodigy of Learning) whose Words are these following:

"If we respect (saith he) the Laws of Nature only, no Right of Propriety can be admitted to those, who have not the Use of Rea-

fon: But Jus Gentium, the Law of Nations, for the Common Good, doth Lib. 2. Cap. 3. Sect. 6. De jare belli & pacis.

indulge this Favour unto Infants, Idiots and Mad-

"Men, that they may lawfully receive and retain the propriety of things. All Mankind in the mean time fustaining their Persons. For Humane Laws may con-

" flitute many things, that were Preternatural, but not

Humana jura multa constituere possimo præter naturam contra naturaminihil.

"any thing that is against
"Nature. And therefore
"that right of Dominion
"that, in favour to such.

'is by the unanimous consent of all Civiliz'd Nations thus introduced, may haply consist with the first Act of Dominion, which is a power to have and to hold things in Propriety; but not with the second Act, which is freely and voluntarily to dispose of them without a Guardian (it being but Equal, that those that can-

Æquum est, ut qui se regere non potest, regatur aliunde. "not govern themselves, "should be govern'd by others.) For as to the right of Alienation, and the

"like, because in their own Nature they imply the Act of a Will, guided with (a) Reason, which Infants, Idi-

(a) Alienatio rerum solidum desiderat habere judicium. Cassiodorus 11, 11. "ots and Madmen have "not: Therefore doth the "Law permit these Acts "unto them, as to the Use

" and free Exercise of their Rights.

But here may be started a Question or two:

Quest. One whereof is this; If there be found a People that have no use of Natural Reason at all, Whether all Right and Dominion may be taken from them?

Sol. It is not sufficient (saith Grotius) to justifie a War, to pretend, that we were the first Discoverers of any place, in case it be possess, tho' by Pagans and Infidels,

dels, or by Men of dull Apprehension; for to entitle our

Celves to be the first Founders, 'tis necessary, that the Land fo found should belong to none. Neither is it ne-

Inventio est eorum, que nullius

ceffary to Propriety or Dominion, that a Man should be endued with Virtues Moral, or (a) Theological, or to be

of a quick Understanding; yet may this feem to be justifiable, That in case there can be found a People that have no use of natural Reason at all, there all Right and Dominion

(a) A true Maxim in Divinity, Dominion is not founded in Grace; Bishop Browning I Vol. of Sermons, p. 50. And Amelius in his Cases of Conscience, Lib. 5. Cap. 41. Qu. 1. Numb. 7.

may be taken from them: Yet ought we in Charity to to make them fuch an Allowance as is necessary for their fupport and maintainance, as well as to other Ideots and Madmen. For as to what has been already faid concerning the Care which the Law of Nations take to preferve the Property of Infants and Lunaticks, it appertains to fuch People with whom we have any commerce, or make any contract with, which we cannot have with fuch a People, as are wholly and altogether destitute of Reason; and therefore of these it may be very well doubted, whether they have any Property at all. Grotius De jure Belli & Pacis, Lib. 2. Cap. 22. Sect. 9, 10.

Quest. The other Question may be this, If a King or Sovereign Prince be a Minor, or if he be not of found Memory, whether such a one has Right to Govern?

Sol. For the Solution of this Question, we must distinguish, as in private Dominion, so in Empire, between the Right it felf, and the exercise of that Right, or between the first act and the fecond; for as a King

Grotius De jure belli & pacis, Lib. 1. Cah 3. Sect. 24.

(though an Infant) hath a Right to Govern, but is not permitted to exercise that Right; so he that is Furiosus aut captivus, Mad, or a Prisoner, or that so lives in a Foreign Country, that he is not permitted freely to act in such matters, as concern the Good of that Empire, that is remote from him; for in all such cases they have their Lieutenants, or Vice-Roys to act for them: Wherefore Demetrius living under restraint with Seleucus, did forbid any Credit to be given

Plutarch in Demetrius, and Peter de la Primadaie, in his French Academy, cap. 50.

Note, Girard affirmeth, that it hath been the Custom of the French, to honour their Kings, whatsoever they are,

De L'Estate de France, Lib. 1. Sir John Hayward in the Life of King William II.p. 151. Edit. 1613. kings, whatfoever they are, whether wife or foolish, valiant or weak, esteeming the Name of King to be Sacred, by whomfoever it be born.

to his Letters, or unto his

Seal; but commanded, that

all things should be so go-

And therefore they obeyed not only Charles the Simple, but Charles the fixth also, who reigned many years in plain distraction of his Mind. So when Alexandrides, King of Sparta, left two Sons, Cleomenes the Eldest, distracted in his VVits, and Doricus the youngest, both able and enclined to all Actions of Honour, the Spartans acknowledged Cleomenes for their King.

Having given the READER an Account upon what Right the Dominion or Propriety of Infants, Ideots and Mad-men is founded; I shall now proceed to treat of the Law of England (as also to mention Sparsim, here and there, the Roman and Canon Law) relating to such as are deprived of the Use of their Reason, Wits and Understanding.

fu

d

C

fo

E

A Man of Non Sane Memorie, is termed among the Latines Infamus, Fatuus, Amens, Demens, Mente Captus Maniacus Furiofus, Stultus, Errore Mentis Affectus, a Rationis usu Destitutus Lunaticus; and Non Compos Mentis; Of which several Terms, the last of all is most fure and legal; and accor-

ding to our English Leguleians or Lawyers, Non pos Mentis in the Common Law Compos Mentis is of four

ot

us

0-

in

at

re

r-

en

is

at

0-

d.

n

۲,

1-

C

-

c

The several sorts of Non Com

forts: First, He that is an Idiot Born. Next, He that by Accident afterwards lofeth his Wits: Thirdly, A Lunatick, that bit sometimes his Understanding, and

(ometimes not en Laftly, He, which by his own act deprivetb bimself of bis right mind for a time, as a Drunkard.

Co. Lit. 246, b. 247. a. Co. L. 4. Beverley's Cafe. Cowell's Interpreter Tis. Non Compos Mentis, and Minshew's Guide into the Tongues, 495.

Of these four forts in their Order, and that by way of Description, by way of Remark, and by way of Query.

Inquirendo.

# PART the FIRST.

## Of him that is an Idiot Born.

#### SECT. I.

An Idiot or Natural Fool, who.

DEfore a Description be given of an litiot, that from his Nativity, by a perpetual Infirmity, is Non Compos Mentis, it will not be much amils to give forme Account of the first Original of the Word [Idiot] : Idiota or Idiotes, is a Greek Word, and properly fignifies a private Man, who is not employed in any Publick Office. Amongst the Latines it is taken for illiterate or foolish; and hence in Cicero, and other good Authors, Idiota fignifies commonly an unlearned and illiterate person i In Herodian, he is faid to be 'Idicitus qui rei alicujus est imperitus, ut 'Idiorne The lateines. But among the English Jurists, Idiot is a Term of Law, and taken for one that is wholly deprived of his Reason and Understanding from his Birth; and with us in our common Speech is called a Fool Natural; of whom there has been given a Description by feveral of our Law-Authors.

Master Fitzberbert describes an Idiot thus: He who shall be faid to be an Idiot from bis Birth, is such a Per-(on, who cannot account or number twenty pence, or can-

not tell who is his Father or Mother, or bow old be is. Nat. Brev. 579. B. de Idiota &c. So that it may appear

that be bath no understanding of Reason, what shall be for his Profit, or what shall be for his Lofs.

The

The Author of the Exposition of the Terms of the

Law, gives this Description of him;

Idiot is bethat is a Fool Natural from his Birth, and knoweth not how to account or number towenty pence, or cannot name his Father or

Mother, nor of what Age bimfelf is, or such like easy

m

i-

2.

n

Tit Ideot. f. 201. a. b.

and common Matters, so that it appeareth he hath no manner of understanding of reason or government of himself, what is for his most or disprosit, &c.

An lidet by the Civilian Swinbourn, is thus described.

An Idiot, or a natural Fool is he, who notwithst anding be be of lawful Age, yet be is so witless that he cannot

number to Twenty, nor can tell what Age be uof, nor knoweth (b) who is his Father or Mother, nor is able to answer to any such easie Question; whereby it may plainly appear that be bath not reason to discern what is to bis profit or damage, though it be notorious, nor is apt to be informed or influented by any other : His Freatise of Testaments and last Wills, Part 2. Sect. 4. f. 29. a. b. Edit. 1590. Vide more of an Iidot's description in Stanford Super Prærog. Regis. c. 9. 1.34. P. 37.

(b) Quid? Estne stapm fathus quifquis non perell demonstrare. patrem? Abec: Nam, ut concedam filium illum merito fagacem dici, fuum qui novie patrem, certe fi concluderem reliquos omnes elle fatuos, vereor, ne excluderem paucos Notum est, quod cecinit de Telemacho; infignis Homerus: Ex illo natum mater me dicia. At ipse nescio: Nam certum quis possit scire parentem ? Quod igitur scriptum reliquit Fitzberbert, Que tiel person serra dit Sot & Idiote, que ne scier dire qui fuit son pere ou mere, &c. ita exaudiendum est, si nesciat respondere, quis appellatur ipfius Pater.

b. Edit. 1567. And M. 31. 1.3. Tit. Saver de default,

#### SECT. II.

## Of the Remarks concerning Idiots.

#### I. REMARK.

If a person hath so much knowledge that he can read, or learn to read by Instruction and Information of others, or can measure an Ell of Cloth, or name the days of the Week, or beget a Child, Son or Daughter, or such like, whereby it may appear that he hath some light of Reason, then such a one is no Idiot naturally. Exposition of Terms of the Law, f. 201. b. Tit. Idiot. Stanford super Prerog. Regis, c. 9. Fitzberbert Natura Brevium, p. 519. B.

#### II. REMARK.

An Idiot or Fool Natural, is uncapable of making a Testament; nor can he dispose of his Lands or Goods: Stat. of 34 & 35 H. 8. cap. 5. Swinbourn in his Treatise of Wills 2d Part, Sect. 4. f. 39. b. Godolphin's, Orphans Legacy, Part I. cap. 8. numb. 3. p. 25. Cowel's Institutes Lib. 2. Tit. 12. Sect. 2. p. 115. Edit. 1605.

#### III. REMARK.

If a Man be of a mean understanding (neither of the wisest fort, nor of the soolish'st) but, indifferent as it were, betwixt a Wise man and a Fool, yea though he rather incline to the soolish sort, so that for his dull capacity he might worthily be termed Grossam Caput, a dull Pate, Dunce, such a one is not prohibited to make a Testament, Swinbourn 2 part, sect. 4. Or, as Godolphin expresseth himself,

himself; He that only is of mean Capacity or understanding, or one who is, as it were betwixt a man of ordinary Capacity and a Fool, such a one is not prohibited from making a Testament, Orphan's Legacy, 1 part, cap. 8, numb. 3. But it is with this Proviso (says he) that he hath understanding enough to conceive what is the nature of a Testament, or last Will, being well informed thereof, otherwise he being destitute of such understanding, is not sit to make a Will. Simon de prat. de Interp. ult. Vol. Lib. 2. Dub. 1. f. 4. Co. Lit. 6. The Marquess of Winchester's Case.

#### IV. REMARK.

If a person be so very soolish, so very simple and sottish that he may be made believe things incredible or impossible, as that an Ass can fly, or that in old-times Trees did walk, Beasts and Birds could speak, as it is in Assop's Fables; for he that is so sool-

ish, cannot make a Testament, because he shath not so much wit, as a Child of ten or eleven years old, who

c

Testamentum facere non possunt Impuberes, qui a nullum eorum animi Judicium est. Instit. 2, 12. 1. Cod. 6, 22, 4.

is therefore intestable, namely, for want of Judgment, Swinbourn 2 part, p. 4.

#### V. REMARK.

Although by the Laws of this Land, He that can meafure a Yard of Cloth, or rightly name the days of the VVeck, or beget a Child; shall not be reputed an Idiot or a natural Fool; yet it will not be indisputably granted, that an act so natural as the begetting of a Child, can so qualifie a natural Fool, so as to render him in the charitablest construction of Law Testable; for if he be such a natural Fool, as that though of Lauful Age, yet cannot declare of what Age he is, nor number recently, nor knoweth his natural Parents, by their feveral Names and Relations; and the like case Questions, such

(a) Cum Lege quis intestabilis jubetur esse, eo pertinet, ne ejus tessimonium recipiatur, & eo amplius (ut quidam putant) neve ipsi dicatur Testimonium, D. 28.

an klice is undoubtedly intellable. (a) Godblubin in historica, Intituled. The Orehan's Legacy, part 1c, 8.

#### VI. REMARK.

Notwithstanding all which, if it may appear by sufficient conjectures and circumstances, that such Idiots had the use of Reason and Understanding at such time as they did make their Testaments, then are such Testaments good and valid in Law. 3 Eliz. Dyer 203, 204. Swinbourn 2 part, self. 4. Godolphin in his Orphans Legacy, part 1. cap. 1. And yet (says the same Godolphin) is he be an Idiot indeed, albeit he may make a wise reasonable, and sensible Testament as to the matter of it, yet it will be void.

#### VII. REMARK.

To make a Promise or Contract compleat and binding, the use of Reason is required in the promiser or contracter, which renders the Promises or Contracts of Idiots, Mad-men and Infants void and of no force in the Laws of all Countries. Grotius de jure belli & pacis, lib. 2. cap. 11. sect. 5. Briton cap. 28. f. 61. b. 63. a. And what is said of Contracts and Promises, is true also in the Case of Oaths, namely, That he that Swears should be of sound Mind, and should use great deliberation before he takes an Oath; of which sound Mind and deliberation

beration, Idiots, Madmen and Infants are not capables Grotius of the Rights of War and Peace, lib. 2. cap. 13. fett. 2.

#### VIII. REMARK.

in be

1

d

y

ts

f

He that is a Fool natural, or a Mad-man, is incapacitated to be a Judge, for want of Knowledge and difcretion. Mirror c. 2. feet. 2. 9. 115, 116. Eduion. 1642.

#### IX. REMARK.

An Idiot, or Fool natural is uncapable of being made an Arbitrator called in Latine [Compromissarius Judex.] For the Law dictates, that fuch Persons be elected Arbitrators as have sufficient skill of the matter submitted to them, and have neither Legal nos natural impediment; That they be not Infants, who by reason of their sew years want discretion and knowledge; that they be neither Madmen nor Idiots, for fuch are void of reason and understanding, West. Symb. 2d part, Sect. 23, 26, 27. Author of a Tract Entituled, Arbitrium Redivivum. cap. 4. p. 19. D. 4. 8, 9.

#### X. REMARK.

Every one cannot make an Attorny; for an Infant within Age, a Mute, a deaf Man, a Fool natural, a man distracted in his Wits, or otherwise without discretion, are uncapable of constituting Attornies, Britton, cap. 126. f. 285. b. Mirror cap. 3. fect. 10. p. 194.

Chefcun ne puit mye faire Attorne: car Enfant de dens age, ne muit, ne surd, ne fol naistre, ne bome arrage, on autrement fans disoretion, ne puit mie faire Attornes.

XI. RE-

#### XI. REMARK.

All such persons are capable to be Essoigners, or Excusators, as are not prohibited by Law; but there are some that are forbidden; among which number are Infants, all such as are in VVard, Excommunicated persons, Madmen and Fools natural, Mirror of Justices, cap. 2. sect. 30. p. 175.

#### XII. REMARK.

If a Suit be brought against another, he may say, that he ought not to answer the Demandant or Plaintiff, for he is an Excommunicate person, a Madman, an Insant or a Fool from his Nativity; andit shall be a good Plea or Exception to the Demandant or Plaintiff's Suit or Action, Fleta, lib. 2. cap. 34. numb. 3. p. 116. and lib. 6. cap. 38. numb. 1. p. 431. and cap. 40. numb. 1. p. 434. Bracton lib. 5. tract. 5. cap. 20. numb. numb. 1. f. 420. b. Mirror des Justices, cap. 2. sect. 3. s. 117.

#### XIII. REMARK.

There is required in them who contract Matrimony, a found and whole Mind to consent; for he that is either an Ideot or Madman, without intermission of Fury cannot Marry. The Womans Lawyer, lib. 2. sect. 10. p. 57. Edit. 1632. This Consent (saith Amessus) must be voluntary and free, else it's not esteemed a humane consent; and hence the consent of such as have not the use of Reason is no force to such a Contract, Lib. 5. cap. 35. Qu. 4.

## XIV. REMARK.

A Man that is Deaf and Dumb, and yet hath Understanding, may Attorn by figns; but one that is Non compos mentis, as an Idiot, cannot attorn, for that he hath no understanding, cannot agree to the Grant, Co. Lit. f. 315. a. 26 E 3. 63. 18 E. 3. 53. 6 Co. f. 69. a. Sir Moyle Finch's Case.

#### XV. REMARK.

Minoribus acquiruntur possessiones, & naturaliter fatuis, & suriosis per Tutores inde, aliter vero minime eo quod intellectum recipiendi non babent, nec retinendi: Curatores autem sanum intellectum oportet babere, quia si minorem fatuum a Nativitate, vel suriosum miseris ut possideas, nequaquam videris per eos possessionem apprehendisse, quia intellectum non babent; Fleta lib. 3. c. 15. nu. 1 4. p. 203. VdeBracton, lib. 2. c. 18. nu. 6. f. 43. b.

#### XVI. REMARK.

It appears in the old Books of Law, that it was expedient that Ideots should have a Curator or Tutor, or one that should take the charge of their Persons, Lands and

Goods, which Office fince is devolved to the King, and made parcel of his Prerogative, 17 E. 2. cap. 9. As Fitzherbert very well faith, in his Natura Brevium.

e

1

Braffon, lib. 5. tract. 5. c. 20. nu. 1. f. 420. b. Fleta lib. 1. c. 11. nu. 10. Cowel's Institutes, lib. 1. eit. 23. sect. 1. de Curatoribus.

The King is the Protector of all his Subjects, their Goods, Lands and Tenements, and therefore of such as cannot govern themselves, Stamford Sur Prærog. Regis, cap. 9. Britton c. 66. f. 167. b. Sk Thomas Smith's Commonweath, lib. 2. c. 4. p. 98. Eng. Edit. 1640.

#### XVII, REMARK.

The King having the custody of the Persons and E-states of Idiots, can let to Farm, rendring Rent, all the Possessions of a Fool natural, but not that which he hath Title unto, or Action: And therefore upon an Office (finding that the Idiot's Ancestors died seized of an Estate Tail) it is sufficient to Traverse the dying seized, for that only entituleth the King, 31 E. 3. Saver de Fault, 37. I H. 7. 24. Finch's Law, lib. 2. c. 2.

#### XVIII. REMARK.

By the Common Law the King shall have as great protection of the Goods and Chattels of an Idiot, as of his Lands, and that as well 4 Co. f. 128. Beverly's Case. the scattering of his Goods and Chattels, as the Alienation of his Lands is to be remedied and redressed by the King, to whom the Law hath given the Protection and Cuttody of him.

#### XIX. REMARK.

As after Office found, an Idiot cannot Alien, Give, &c. So Alienations, Gifts, &c. made before Office found shall be avoided after Office thereof found, for no Latches shall be found in the King, nor any prejudice thereby shall accrue to the Idiot for not suing the Office before the Feossment of Gift, 4 Co. f. 428. Beverley's Case.

XX. R E-

#### XX. REMARK.

9.

on-

E.

he

ath fice ate

nat

at

of

ell

ds

a-

ne

d

d

1

C

If the Idiot dies before Office found, after his Death, no Office can be found; for the words of the Writ are, Et ipfum viis, o mode paibus super statu suo melius poteritis informari orromospette examinareris, o.c. which cannot be done when he a dead, and without Office, the King cannot be entituled, 16 E. 3. Livery 30. 4 Co. f. 128. Beverley's Case.

#### XXI. REMARK.

When the King is informed, that one, who hath Lands and Tenements, and is a natural Fool from his Birth, the King may award his Writ, called Idiota inquirendo wel examinande, which directed to the Escheator, or Sheriff of any County, where the King hath information, or understanding that there is an Idiot naturally so Born , fo weak of Understanding that he cannot govern or manage his Inheritance, to call before him the Party suspected of Idiocy and examine him; and also to inquire by the Oaths of twelve Men, whether he be fufficiently witted to different his own Lands with discretion or not, and to certifie accordingly into the Chancery, for the King (as both been faid before) hath the Protection of his Subjects, and by his Prerogative the Government of their Lands and Substance, that are naturally defective in their own discretion,

Doctor Cowel's Interpreter, Brevia de inquirendo de Idiota tit. Idiota inquirendo, &c.

Minshew's Guide to the Tongues 373. Note, The several Forms of the Writs in Latine, directed either to the Escheator, or the Sheriff are to be seen in the Register Orig. f. 266. a.b.

XXII. RE-

#### XXII. REMARK.

When a Man is found an Idiot from his Birth by Office, he, who is so found Idiot (fallely as he supposeth)

The manner how he that is falsely found to be an Idiot, shall avoid the Office.

may come personally into Chancery, before the Chancellor, and pray, that before him, and the Justices

and Sages of the Law, which he shall call to him (and are called the King's Council) he may be examined, if he be an Idiot or not; or by his Friends he may sue

(a) The Writ De Idiota coram confilio ducendo ad examinandum, Reg. Orig. f. 267. forth 2 (a) Writ out of the Chancery, returnable in the Chancery, ibidem coram nobis, & confilio nostro ex-

aminand. And if he be found upon examination that he is no Idiot, the Offic found thereof, and all the Examinations which hath been made by force of the Writ, or the King's Commission, is utterly void, without any Traverse, or Monstrans de droit, or other Suit; as appeareth by the Register Orig. f. 267. and F. N. B. 233. voide 15 E. 3. in Fitz. Tit. Livery 306. 9 Co. f. 31. The Case of the Abbot of Strate Marcella. Stamford super Prærog. c. 9.f. 34. a. 36 Edit. 1567.

#### XXIII. REMARK.

If a Scire Facias be awarded against the Feoffee of an Idiot, and the Feoffee appearing, upon the Scire Facias, may traverse the Idiocy, as appears he did in the Book of 18 E. 3.

#### XXIV. REMARK.

Of-

th)

ato

n-

ces

nd

if

ue

he

he

m

204

at

ait,

y

3.

d

1

The Law gave the King but the Custody of the Lands of the Idiot; and altho' the same continued during the Life of the Idiot, yet having but the Custody, the King bath not the Freehold, or Fee, but the Freehold is in the Idiot: For the Statute of Prarogativa Regis, c. 9. saith, Quod post mortem earum raddet ea rectis Hæredibus: That after the Death of such Idiots, he shall render it to the right Heirs, 17 E.3.11. 13 E. 3. Saver Default 37.4 Co. f. 126. b. Beverley's Case.

Stamford Super Prarog. c.9. is of the same Opinion; Tho' the King (saith he) has the possession during the Idiot's Life, yet the King hath 1 H. 7. 15. not the Freehold thereby, but only a bare Custody, for the Freehold remains in the Heir.

#### XXV. REMARK.

The King ought not to seize an Idiot's Lands, until such time as he is found an Idiot by Office. Stamford Super Prarog. Regis, c. 9.

#### XXVI. REMARK.

The Office, when a Person is found to be an Idiot, shall have relation a Nativitate, to avoid all mean Acts done by him; that is to say, Feoffments, Releases, or the like. Fitzberbert, and Stamford, Super Prarog. c. 9.

C

XXVII.

### XXVII. REMARK.

Altho' the Statute of Prarogativa Regis, c. 9. faith, Confodiam Terrarum, yet the King shall have as well the Custody of the Body, and of the Goods and Chat-

Confilio & Opera Curatoris tueri debet um selum Patrimanium, sed & corpus & salus suriosa. seu satui, D. 25. 10. 7. tels of Idiots, as of their Lands, and other Hereditaments, as well those which they have by Purchase, as those which they

have as Heirs by the Common Law. 4 Coke, f. 127. a. Beverley's Cale.

#### XXVIII. REMARK.

The Person, by the Statute, ought to be, an Idiot, a Nativitate, sc. Fatuus Naturalis, and not by Accident or Infirmity: For if he were once Wise, and became a Fool by Missortune, the King shall not have the Custody of him. 18 E. 3. Fitz. Tit. Scire Facias, Pl. 10. Fitz-berbert's Natura Brevium, Stamford Super Prarog. Regis, c.9. f. 34. b. 4 Co. Beverley's Case.

### XXIX. REMARK.

No Feoffment, Gist, Lease, or Release, that an Idio can make of his Inheritance, but it may be avoided, during his Life; which is apparented by these words of the Prerogative Statute: Its qued unlatenus per essential tuos alsenentur, nec quod corum Haredes exheredentes So that such Idiots shall not alien, nor their Heirs shall be disinherited, 4 Co. 127. Beverley's Case, Stamford Saper Prarog. Regis, C. 9. f. 35. b. Edit. 1567.

XXX

### XXX. REMARK.

The King is to take the Profits belonging to the Idiot to his own use, finding him Neodlaries; and this is evidenced by the words of our Samue: Cupiendo secoffarias fua. Stamford Saper Practa, Ragis, c. 9. f. 34. b. The King (lays Wayser) that have to his own use all the Possessions of a Food Natural, during his Idiocy. His Body of the Courses Law of England, c. 2. of Possessions, Nu. 3.

### XXXI. REMARK.

The King is bound to Reparations of the Idiot's Lands and Tenements; for the words of the Statute are, The King shall have the Castody of the Lord of Natural Fools, taking the Proper of them without waste, or destruction. Stamford Super Passon, Regis, cap. 9. 6. 35. 2.

cnt

ne a

it Z

R

Il be

#### XXXII. REMARK.

The King, by the Statute of Prarogativa Regis, is to be preferred in this Title of Idiocy, before any other Lord which might claim the Idiot as his Ward; and this is evidenced by the words of the faid Statute, De cujufcunque feodo Terra ille facrint: Of whose Fee soever the Lands be bolden. Stamford Super Prarogativam Regis, c. 9. f. 35. 2. Edit. 1567.

### XXXIII. REMARK.

If one be found an Idiot by Office, and before the King doth make a Seizure of the Lands, the Idiot departs this

this Life, yet the King shall seize the Lands, because of thele words of the Statute, Post mortem corum cam rellis Haredibus : After the Death of Juch Idiots, be feal rem der it to the right Heirs. Which the King cannot do, but upon a Scizure. Stamford Super Prareg. Regis, c. 9. f. 34, a, b.

#### XXXIV. REMARK.

Idieta a natevitate non recipitur, vel ad agendian, vel defendendiem in aliqua caufa, per Coflodem, vel proximum propinguum fed requiritur, ut ipfe femper pra-Sens sis in propria persona. Cowell's Inflitutes, lib. 1. tit. 23.

icet. 6. de Curatoribus.

When an Idiot doth fue, or defend, he shall not appear by Guardian, or Prechein Amy, or Attorney, but he must be ever in Perfon; and whofoever will plead beft for him, shall be admitted, 33 H.6.18.21. F.N.B.27.G. Co. Lit. f. 135 b. Stampford c.9.35.b.36.a.

4Co.124. b. Beverley's Cafe. Saunders Rep. 2 Part, f.335. Dennis, v. Dennis. But an Infant, or a Minor, shall sue by Prochein Amy, and defend by Guardian. 27 H. 8. 11. 40 E. 3. 16. 20 E. 4.2. F. N. B. 27. H. Co. Litt. f. 135. b. Cro. Jac. f. 640, 641. Simpson, & Simpson, v. Fackson. 4 Co. f. 124. b. Beverley's Cafe.

#### XXXV. REMARK.

By the Statute of Westmin. 2 c. 15. it is ordained, That if an Infant be eloined, he may fue by Prochein Amy; but this same Statute extendeth not to an Idiot. Co. Inft. f. 391.

XXXVI.

K

I

6

til

E

an

#### XXXVI. REMARK.

A Descent shall not take away the Entry of an Idiot, albeit the want of Understanding was perpetual; for Cook in his Comment on Littleton, seed, 405, speaks theton, seed, 405 f. 247. a. generally of a Man of Non same Memorie. Vide Noy's Treatise of the Grounds of the Common Law, Cap. 16. Of Descents.

#### XXXVII. REMARK.

If an Idiot makes a Feoffment in Fee, he shall in Pleading never avoid it, by saying, That he was an Idiot at the time of his Feoffment, and so had been from his Nativity: But upon an Office found for the King, the King shall avoid the Feoffment for the benefit of the Idiot, whose Custody the Law giveth to the King, 39 H. 6. 42. b. F. N. B. 202. 5 E. 3.70. Britton, cap. 28. f. 66. a, b. Coke in his Comment on Littleton, sett. 405. f. 247. a. Stamford, in his Exposition of the Statute of 17 E. 2. cap. 9.

#### XXXVIII. REMARK.

A Copyholder of unsound Memory, an Idiot, or Lunatick, cannot forseit his Estate. Sheppard in his Treatise, entituled, The Court-Keeper's Guide, cap. 22. p. 172. Edit. 1656.

#### XXXIX. REMARK.

A Grant, or Surrender of Copyhold-Land, made by an Idiot, is not valid in the Laws of England. Shep-C 3 para's

# 22 The Law of Non Compos Mentis.

pard's Court-Keeper's Guide, Cap. 19. Page 117,

#### XL. REMARK.

A Surrender, or Grant of Copyhold-Land, may be made to an Idiot, or any other Man of unfound Memory, and good in Law. Sheppard, Cap. 19. p. 118, 119,

#### XLI. REMARK.

By the Statute of 32 H. 8. c. 46. the Master of the Court of Wards, and Liveries, by the Advice of the

Note, This Act of 32 H. 8. for the Erecting of the Court of Wards, is taken away by the Statute of 12 Car. 2. 6. 24.

Attorney, Receiver-General, and Auditors, or three of them, had Authority to furvey, govern, and order all and fingular Idiots, and

4

F

b

t

F

0

6

Natural Fools, now being in the King's Hands, or that hereafter shall come, and be in the King's Hands; and to survey and order all the Mannors, Lands, Tenements, and other Hereditaments whatsoever; and also to let, and ser the same to the King's Use, for the time of the King's Interest, for such Rent, and fined as by their Discretion shall be thought convenient; the finding and keeping of the said Persons, their Wives and Children, and the Reparations of their Houses and Lands, always to be considered in the doing thereof, &c.

Note, Tho' these Officers of the Court of Wards and Liveries, had Power to let and set the Lands of Idiots, and Natural Fools; yet, according to the Sentiment of Stamford, they had no Power to grant the Custody of their

Bodies.

#### XLII. REMARK.

Regularly, Conveyances, or other Acts of Record, acknowledged, or made by one that is an Idiot, are unavoidable by him, or his Heirs, in the Laws of England. 4 Co. Beverley's Case.

#### XLIII. REMARK.

If an Idiot, or other Non Compos Mentis, does levy a Fine, and declare the use thereof, this Declaration shall bind him as long as the Fine continues in force; for inasmuch as he hath been admitted by the Judges, as a Man that hath the use of Reason, the Law, as long as the Fine remains in force, permits him to limit the use thereof, 10 Co. 42. b. Mary Portington's Case. 2 Co. f. 58. Beckwith's Case, 12 Co. f. 123, 124. Mansfield's Case.

#### XLIV. REMARK.

There is a diversity taken between an Idiot, and an Unthrift, or Spendthrift; as appears in the Case of one Brent, of the County of Somerset, who was presented for an Idiot; but it was evidenced, That he could write Letters, and make Acquittances, and such-like; whereupon he was adjudged an Unthrist, but no Idiot. Br.4. in Fine.

Note, That as Minors have Curators, and Governors, fo also mad Persons, and Spendthrists, Unthrists, or prodigal Persons, are appointed by the Civil Law of the Romans, to have Governours; for that they can no more govern their own State, than the others can: For they, and such as know no time, nor end of Spending, but riot, or lavish out their Estates, without all Discretion; and

and for their fakes I will here subjoyn the Sentiments, that the old Roman Jurists have had of these Prodigals, or Spendthrifts.

Note, Cicero 3 de Officiis, tells us, That there was a Law made by Latorius, which provided, that there should be appointed for those which were Distracted, or did prodigally waste their Patrimony: For as it appeareth by the common Adage used among the Romans, Ad Agnatos & Gentiles deducendus est: They did account all Prodigals or Spendthrifts, Mad-men; they meaning no more by that, than we do by our English Proverb, Let bim be begged for a Fool. The Reason of their Adage was, because if any were distracted, by the Roman Law his Wardship fell Ad Agnatos & Gentiles, i. e. to the next of the Kindred. Goodwin's Roman Antiquities, lib. 3. fect. 4. c. 24.

Qui Eversores, aut insani sunt, (saith Caius) omni tempore vitæ sue sub Curatore esse jubentur: Quia substantiam suam rationabiliter gubernare non possunt, Lib.2.

Tit. 8. de Curationibus.

Lege 12 Tabularum (says Ulpian) Prodigo interdicitur bonorum suorum administratio. Quod moribus quidem ab initio introductum est, sed solent bodie prætores, vel præsides, si talem bominem invenerint, qui neque tempus, neque finem expensarum babet, sed bona sua dilacerando, & dissipando profudit, Curatorem ei dare exemplo furiosi. Et tam diu erunt Ambo in Curatione, quam diu vel furiosus sanitatem, vel ille sanos mores receperit; quod si evenerit, ipso jure desinant in potestate Curatorum. D.28. 10. I.

Divus pius (saith the same Lawyer, Ulpian) matris querelam de filiis prodigis admisit, ut Curatorem accipiant in bæc verba: Non est novum, quosdam etsi mentis suæ videbuntur ex sermonibus Compotes esse: Tamen sic tractare bona ad se pertinentia, ut, nisi, subveniatur bis,

dedu-

led

05

ui 477

ta.

Ben

me

he

oth

D.

Ul

bra

ign

thi

the

W

tis

leducantur in Egestatem. Eligendus itaque erit, qui os consilio regat: Nam æquum est, prospicere nos etiam eis, ui quod ad bona ipsorum pertinet, furiosum faciunt exium. D. 25.5.12.2.

Furiosi (saith Pomponius) vel ejus cui bonis interdi-

fus sit, nulla voluntas est. D. 50. 17. 40.

Hence it is, that Spendthrifts, or Prodigals, are forbid-

den to make their Testaments, or to dispose of their Lands or Goods any other ways, Instit.2. 12.2. D. 28. 1.18. Swinburn in

S,

2

C

T

4

ti

Is cui lege bons interdictum est, testamentum facere non potest, & fi fecerit, ipso jure non valet. UI-pian.

his Tract of Testaments, and Last Wills. 2d Part, feet. 24. Ulpianus, Tit. 20. de Testamentis.

Among the Grecians, such as were Spendthrifts, were

branded with Infamy.

Decoctores paternæ, aut alterius cujusvis hæreditatis ignominiosi sunto: All wild Extravagants, and Spendthrists, who lavishly run out the Estates lest them by their Fathers, or others, shall be "AliuG.

From the Remarks touching Idiots, or Fools Natural, we come to our Queries, attended with Solutions, relating to them.

#### SECT. III.

n

cr

er

K

15

The Queries, with their Solutions, concerning Idiots, or Natural Fools.

## I. QUERY.

If the King commit the Body, or Estate, of an Idio, i J. S. to do with them as he pleases, whether this Gran be good?

#### SOLUTION.

THE Estate, and Persons of Idiots, and Lunaticks are by Law intrusted with the Supreme. Should the Sovereign Trustee commit the Body, or Estate, of either of them, to J. S. to do with them as absolutely, and inordinately, as he pleases, the Grant were void; be cause Breach of Trust; and the Committee punishable for any exorbitant Usage. The Author of an Ast, entity led, Desensio Legis, Sect. 10. Par. 81. p. 139. Edit

1674.

The Estates and Persons of Idiots, and Lunaticks, (sain the Lord Chief Justice Hobart) are by Law intrusted to the King; if therefore the King should grant to one, that intrudeth upon the Possessions of an Idiot, or Lunatick, or take their Persons unlawfully, that he would not meddle with them, but suffer them to do their pleasure, these Grants were void: For these are Acts of Justice, and Offices of a King, which he cannot put off: Cessa regnare, si non vis judicare. And in these things the King is never supposed by Law ill affected, but abusted and deceived; for Eadem prasamitur mens Regis, qua

eft furis. Hobart's eports, f. 155. Colt and lover, v. Bishop of Contry and Litchfield.

rn.

lit.

1

Princeps jura tueri prasumitur, Princeps Custos legis, c.3. 28,35. D. 43. 8. 1. 10.

# II. QUERY.

bether the King shall have the mean Profits, from the time of the first Seizure of the Idiot, or from the time of the Office found?

#### SOLUTION.

William Tourson, an Idiot from his Birth, by sorce of Remainder, after the

Death of his Father, was Co. Lib. 8. f. 170. Thompointly seized with his El- son's Case.

er Brother, for Term of heir Lives; the Lessor did purchase the Estate of the Eler Brother, and took the Body of the Idiot, and all the Profits of the Lands; and afterwards, William Tourson was found Idiot from his Birth, by Inquitition: The Question was, Whether the King shall have the mean Profits of the Moiety from the time of the first Seizin of he Idiot, or from the time of the Office? And it was colved, That the King should not have the Profits, but fter the Office; and yet to some intent the Office shall have relation from the time of the Birth, Scilicet, to aroid all mean Acts done by the Idiot, and therewith greeth F. N. B. 202 E. and 18 E. 3. -Scire Fac. 10. 32 E. 3. Scire Fac. 106. 50 Aff. Pl. 2. But for the mean Profits, it shall not have relation, but from the time of the Office found; for the same appeareth of Record, that the King hath Right to seize the Lands: As if the King's Tenant commit Felony, Anno 1 Fac. and afstrwards, Anno 3. he is attainted for the same Felony;

n

Dei

cr

er

rc

dift

th

K

15

#### SECT. III.

The Queries, with their Solutions, concerning Idiots, or Natural Fools.

### I. QUERY.

If the King commit the Body, or Estate, of an Idio, in J. S. to do with them as he pleases, whether this Gran be good?

### SOLUTION.

THE Estate, and Persons of Idiots, and Lunaticks are by Law intrusted with the Supreme. Should the Sovereign Trustee commit the Body, or Estate, of either of them, to J. S. to do with them as absolutely, and inordinately, as he pleases, the Grant were void; be cause Breach of Trust; and the Committee punishable to rany exorbitant Usage. The Author of an Ast, entity led, Desensio Legis, Sect. 10. Par. 81. p. 139. Edit

1674.

The Estates and Persons of Idiots, and Lunaticks, (said the Lord Chief Justice Hobart) are by Law intrusted the King; if therefore the King should grant to one, that intrudeth upon the Possessions of an Idiot, or Lunatick, or take their Persons unlawfully, that he would not meddle with them, but suffer them to do their pleasure, these Grants were void: For these are Acts of Justice, and Offices of a King, which he cannot put off: Cessa regnare, si non vis judicare. And in these things the King is never supposed by Law ill affected, but abusted and deceived; for Eadem prasumitur mens Regis,

eft Juris. Hobart's eports, f. 155. Colt and lover, v. Bishop of Contry and Litchfield.

rn.

Princeps jura tueri præsumitur, Princeps Custos legis, c.3. 28,35. D. 43. 8. 1. 10.

# II. QUERY.

bether the King shall have the mean Profits, from the time of the first Seizure of the Idiot, or from the time of the Office found?

#### SOLUTION.

William Tourson, an Idiot from his Birth, by sorce of Remainder, after the

Death of his Father, was Co. Lib. 8. f. 170. Thompointly seized with his El- son's Case.

er Brother, for Term of heir Lives; the Lessor did purchase the Estate of the Eler Brother, and took the Body of the Idiot, and all the Profits of the Lands; and afterwards, William Tourson was found Idiot from his Birth, by Inquitition: The Duestion was, Whether the King shall have the mean Profits of the Moiety from the time of the first Seizin of he Idiot, or from the time of the Office? And it was colved, That the King should not have the Profits, but fter the Office; and yet to some intent the Office shall have relation from the time of the Birth, Scilicet, to avoid all mean Acts done by the Idiot, and therewith greeth F. N. B. 202 E. and 18 E. 3. - Scire Fac. 10. 32 E. 3. Scire Fac. 106. 50 Aff. Pl. 2. But for the mean Profits, it shall not have relation, but from the time of the Office found; for the same appeareth of Record, that the King hath Right to seize the Lands: As if the King's Tenant commit Felony, Anno 1 Fac. and afstrwards, Anno 3. he is attainted for the same Felony; and

and afterwards, Anno 4. all is found by Office: No this Office shall have relation to the time of the Felony, avoid all mean Alienations and Incumbrances; but for the mean Profits, it. shall have relation to the time the Attainder, for then the King's Title appeareth of Re cord; and there is a difference where the King shall have the Custody, by reason of a Seigniory, as in the Case Wardship, there the King after Office found, shall ha the mean Profits from the time of the Death of the Ana flor, for the King hath Wardship by reason of his Seign ory, and he lofeth his Rent, and Services in the mea But the King hath the Custody of an Idiot, m in respect of any Seigniory, but in the Right of hi Royal Protection, because that his Subject is not able to govern himself, nor his Lands, or Tenements which h hath; and this Protection doth begin by the Office found And the Statute of Prarogativa Regis, c. 9. faith, The King shall have the Ward of Lands of Natural Fools taking the Profits, &c. to find them Necessaries, &c. B which it appeareth, that the King shall take the Profit from the time that he is charged with the finding of the Idiot, and his Family, Necessaries, &c. and that is after the Office found; fo that when the King seizeth in the Right of his Regal Protection, as in the Case at Bar, or Nomine districtionis, as in Case of Alienation of Lands in Capite, without License, or of Marriage of his Widow, without License; there, after Office found, the King shall not have any of the mean Profits before the Office, as it is holden in 8 E. 4. 4. 40 Aff. Pl. 36. But when the King seizeth by reason of a form'd Right, or Title, there the King shall have the mean Profits, from the time of his Right or Title first accrued, as 18 As. Pl. 18. from the time of a Condition broken, 41 E. 3.21. from the time of the Alienation of his Tenant in Mortmain: And if the Lands holden of others, from the time the Title came to him, 46 E. 3. Forseiture 18: upon the StaNo

17,

at 6

me o

f R

han

ife o ha

Ina ign

near no

f hi le to

h h

and

pols

By

ofit

fta

the

Ot

ads

his

d,

ore

6.

1.

8

tute of West. 2. c. 45. which giveth the Contra foram Collationis, from the time of the Alienation; for these Acts the King's Title and Right doth accrue; nd in the principal Case, no Precedent can be found. at the King was answered the mean Profits before the fice found, but only after the Office; and so the Ouere Stamford's Prærogativa Regis, 34. is well resolved.

# III. QUERY.

what time was the Prerogative in the Custody of Idiots Lands conferred on the Crown, during the Life of an Idiot, or Natural Fool?

#### SOLUTION.

Sir Edward Cook tells us, That at the making of the The atute of Magna Charta, c. 4. Anno nono Henrici terthe King had not any Prerogative in the Cultody of Lands of Idiots, during the Life of the Idiot: For if had had, this Act of Magna Charta would have prothe ded against Waste, &c. committed by the Committee, Affignee of the King, to be done in their Possessions, well as in the Possessions of Wards; but at this time e Guardianship of Idiots, &c. was to the Lords, and hers, according to the Course of the Common Law. nd Idiots, from their Nativity, were accounted alays within Age; and therefore, the Custody of them as perpetual, so long as they lived, for that their Imotency was perpetual: And the Lord of whom the in Service, and therefore within the Reason of a Custoy of a Minor, or of an Heir within Age, in Case of Vardship. And this appeareth by Fleta, who attesteth, that anciently Idiots, or Natural Fools, were in the Cuody of the Lords: Solent (fays he) Tutores terras Idioorum, & Stultorum cum Corporibus eorum Custodire suo perLib. 1. c. 11. nu 10. p. 6: Vide Bracton; lib. 5. tract. 5: c. 20. nu. 1. f. 420. b. Cowell's Instit. lib. 1. tit. 23., sect. 1. de Curatoribus. perpetuo, quod licitum of permissum, eo quod of sos regere non noveru nam semper judicaba infra ætatem, vel qui verum, quia plures per

jusmodi Custodiam Exheredationem compatiebantur, visum suit & communiter concessum, quod Rex Corpor & Hæreditatum bujusmodi Idiotarum, & Stultorum perpetuis Custodiam obtineret, dum tamen a nativis suerint Idiotæ, & Stulti: Secus autem si tarde a cunque Domino tenuerunt, & ipsos matitaret, & ex a exhæredatione salvaret, boc tamen adjecto, quod Donis Feodorum, & aliis quonum interfuerit, ut in Sertiis, Redditibus, & Custodiis, usque ad legitimam ætat secundum Conditionem Feodorum, releviis, & bujusmibil juris deperiret.

But then it is demanded, When was this Prerogate given to the King? Certain it is, that the King had it fore the Statute of 17 E. 2. de Prærogativa Regis, it appeareth in our Books, that the King had this Pregative, Anno 3 E. 2. And before that, it is manife that the King had it before Britton wrote, in the Reign E. 1. as you may read in his Book, Cap. 66. De Gard

f. 167. b.

And it is as clear, that when Bracton wrote, (we wrote about the end of the Reign of H. 3. that the Ki had not then this Prerogative. And therefore it followeth, that this Prerogative was given to King E. 1. below that Britton wrote, by some Act of Parliament, which not now extant. And it appeareth by the Mirror of I stices, agreeing with Fleta, that this Prerogative we granted by Common Assent, Vide Lib. 4. f. 125. Bevoley's Case.

Hitherto Coke; And now let us see what Stamfor saith to this Point: 'This Prerogative of the King

(quot

(quoth he) to have the Custody of an Idiot, begun in the time of King E. 1. as it should seem to him, because he finds none that wrote of it before Britton; for

Bracton speaks but little of Idiots in his Fisth Book, in the Title of Exceptions against the Plaintiff, where he saith, It is a good Exception for the Person of him that complaineth, or bringeth any Action to say, He is a Fool Natural; because

di

71

ban

94

per

1

DOT

im

Vil

4

c 01

Do

Sen

tat

m

gat

s, Pro

nife

igu

ard

(w

Ki

llo sefa ich

uot

Competit exceptio peremptoria tenenti ex persona petentis, si petens suerit non sanæ mentis quod discernere nesciat, vel omnino nullam habeat discretionem. Talis enim non multum distat a Bruto, quod Ratione caret. Sed discussio hujusmodi exceptionis, discretioni, judicis relinquatur. Bracton, lib. 5. tract. 5. C. 20. nu. 1.

such a one differeth not much from a Beast that wants Reason: But the discussion of such a kind of Exception is lest to the discretion of the Judge. Howbeit, Britton, f. 167. b. saith, That the King ought to have this Prerogative herein; for these be his very words: It pur ceo que ascun foitz avient que ascun Heir est Sot aistre par quoy il nest my able a beritage demaunder traner, volons que tielz. Heires, de qui qu'il unques terne malles & femelles demoergent en nostre garde oves que out autres services que a luy appendent se terre tenue de luy, & issint remeynent en nostre garde, taunt come ils lurent en lour soisse.

Upon these words of Britton by the by, Stamford notes three things: 1. That the King shall not have the Custody during their Lives, but during their Idiocy.

2. That notwithstanding the Land is in the King's Hands, yet the Lords shall have their Seigniories, which is by way of Petition.

3. That the other Lord shall not have the Wardship of the Heir, nor of his Lands, but only the King; which third thing, (says he) by the Statute of

Prerogative is not for plainly fet forth.

### IV. QUERY.

Whether the Ter-Tenant shall be allowed to traverse as Office of Idiocy, upon a Scire Facias brought against bim by the King?

#### SOLUTION.

It was found by an Inquest of Office returned into Chancery, that W. N. was seized of certain Mannon

ment, Tit. Idiots.

and they were held of the T 50 Aff. Pl. 2. Br. Gr. Abridge- King in Chief, and die seized, and the Tenement descended to R. a Fool Na

K

lh

n

ha

W

tural from his Nativity, as Son and Heir; and that M held the Tenements: Whereupon the King sued a Scine Facias against N. to shew Cause why the King should no seize the Lands into his Hands for the Idiocy of R. who comes and fays, That R. fuch a Day released all his Right to the Possession, to M. at the making of which Deed, R. was of good Memory, which M. infeoffed him, with out that R. was a Fool Natural from his Nativity; and it was not denied, but that the Ter-Tenant may traverle the Office in this Form.

# V. QUERY.

Whether there be any Diversity in the Case of the King, to Answer either to the Tenure, or to the Possession?

#### SOLUTION.

1 H.7.18, 19. 2H.7.3. Broke's Grand Abridgment, Tit. Idiots.

An Office was found, that 7. S. died feized of fuch Lands by Gift in Tail, made

made to him, which descended to W. his Son and Heir, who was an Idiot; and N. comes and traverseth the Office, making Title, Absque boc quod dict. J.S. fuit seisie, prout, &c. the Day he died, and it was found against the infi King. And by Hussey, and Fairfax, the Case of Idiocy is not like to the Case of the Ward of Land, and Heir: For there the King shall answer to the Tenure; but in the Case of an Idiot, the King shall answer only to the posfession: For if an Idiot has Title to Land, either by Entry, or by Action, if he has it not in possession, the King on hall not have it; and so Judgment was given upon the the Traverse, for the Issue was upon the possession, and it died matters not, whether the Idiot had Right or not, if he ent had not the possession.

into

Na-

N. cire

not

ho ght

ed, th-

ınd

\$0

d, of

il,

# VI. QUERY.

Whether an Idiot, or Fool Natural, can be bound by the Sale of his Goods in Market Quert ?

#### SOLUTION

Regularly the Sale by a Stranger in Market Overt, rse bindeth an Infant, a Feme Covert, that hath Right, Coke in his Exposition of the either in her own Right, or Stat. of 31 El.c.12. f. 713. as Executor, or Adminifrator, Idiots, Non Compos Mentis, Men beyond Sea, and in Prison, that right have to the same.

# VII. QUERY.

Whether a Stranger may tender Money in performance of a Condition, to fave the Estate of an Idiot?

D

SOLU-

### SOLUTION.

If an Heir be an Idiot, of what Age soever, any Man make the Tender for him, in respect of the absolute disability; and the Law in this Case is grounded on

Charity: And fo in like Cafes.

But note, It is otherwise in the Case of an Infant; for it has been adjudged, Trin.

Cro. El. f. 134. Watkins v. 27 El. That where one tendred Money upon a

who was not Guardian, nor was to have any Interest in the Land, that it was adjudged a void Tender. Vide Co. Litt. f. 206. b.

# VIII. QUERY.

If an Idiot, or Natural Fool, should make his Testament wisely, and reasonably to the shew, whether this Testament of his be good, or not?

#### SOLUTION.

If an Idiot, or Natural Fool, should make his Testament so well and wisely (in Apparence), that the same may seem rather to be made by a reasonable Man, that

by one void of Discretion forme have been of Opinion that such a Testament is good, and available in Law \*; because Almight

God doth sometimes so illuminate the Minds of the Foolish, that for that present, in that Case, they are not much

much inferiour to the Wise. vers credible Writers do remember a merry Accident; which (if they say truly) was no Fable, but an undoubted Fact \*; and this it is:

an

m,

ite

in

on

for

in.

one

nt.

ide

efla ame han on ion

t

\* Jo. And. panor. Barba & alii in C. ad nostram Hyero Franc. in L. Furiosi de Reg. Jur. D. Boer. decis. 13. n. 58.

And to this purpose, di-

At Paris, one Morning, a hungry poor Man, begging his Alms from Door to Door, did at the last espy very good Cheer, at a Cook's House; whereat, by and by, his Teeth began to water, and the Spur of his empty and eager Stomach pricking him forwards, he made as much haste towards the place, as his feeble Feet would give him leave; where he was no fooner come, but the pleasant Smell, partly of the Meat, and partly of the Sauce, did catch fuch fure hold of the poor Man's Nose, that (as if he had been fast holden with a Pair of Pincers) he had no power to pass from thence, until he had (to stay the Fury of his raging Appetite) eaten a piece of Bread, which he had of Charity gotten in another place: In the eating whereof his Sense was so delighted with the fresh Smell of the Cook's Cates, that albeit he did not lay his Lips to any Morsel thereof; yet in the end, his Stomach was so well satisfied with only the Smell thereof, that he plainly acknowledged himself thereby to have gotten as good a Breakfast, as if he had indeed eaten his Belly-full of the best Cheer: Which when the Cook had heard, being an egregious Wrangler, and an impudent Companion, what doth he, but all hastily steps forth to the poor Fellow, lays fast Hand upon him, and in a hot Cholerick Mood, bids him pay for his Breakfast. The honest poor Man, half amazed at this strange Demand, wist not well what to fay: But the Cook was fo much the more fierce and earnest, by how much he perceived the good Man to be abashed at his Boldness, and did so cunningly cloak the.

the Matter, that in the end the poor Man was content ed to refer the deciding of the Controversie, to whatfoever Person should next pass by that way, and without any more ado to abide his Judgment; which thing was no fooner concluded, but by and by cometh unto the place, a very Natural Fool, and fuch a notorious Idiot, as in all Paris his like was not to be found. All the better for me, thought the Cook; for more he doubted the Sentence of a wife Man, than of a Fool, Well, Sir, to this foresaid Judge they rehearsed the whole Fact, the Cook cruelly complaining, and the other patiently confessing as before: A great multitude of People were gathered about them, no less desirous to know what would follow, than wondering at that which had gone before. To conclude, this Natural perceiving, what Money the Cook exacted, caused the poor Man to put so much Money betwixt two Baions, and to shake it up and down in the Cook's hearing: Which done, he did arbitrate, and award, That as the poor Man was satisfied with only the Smell of the ' Cook's Meat, so the Cook should be recompensed only with the Noise of the poor Man's Money. Which Judgment was fo commended, that who so heard the tame, thought, if Cato, or Solomon, had been there to decide the Controversie, they could not have given a more indifferent, or just Sentence.

The like Case is reported to have hapned at Bono-

\* And. Barba in c. ad nostram covetous Man lost his de consuetud, extr. n. 8. Purse with 21 Ducats in

Purse with 21 Ducats in it; which when he could

onot recover with diligent Search, he raved like a Madonan, and in the end was ready to have hanged himoffelf for Sorrow. Another honest Man having found offich a Purse, moved with Compassion, came and officed the same to this covetous Person; who never

thank-

.

thanking the Bringer, fell forthwith to telling of the Money; and finding but 20 Ducats therein, with great Greediness he exacted the odd Ducat; which because the Finder denied, he is brought before the Magistrate, a Man of very great Wealth, but of very little Wit, (but such Magistrates are many times elected, where the Matter lieth in the Mouths of the Multitude:) The one Party sweareth, That there were 21 Ducats in the Purse which he lost. The other Party ' fweareth, That there were but 20 Ducats in the Purse which he found. The Magistrate, altho' a Fool, gives ono foolish Sentence; for he pronounced, 'That the Purse which was found, was not that Purse which was 'lost; and therefore condemned the covetous Person to restore the 20 Ducats to the other Party.

I may add hereunto a third Story of one, that being a

Natural Fool, discovered a

nt-

at-

th-

ng

ato

ous nd.

ore

ol.

he

he

de

us

nat ral

he

a-

I.

at

hic

n

Conspiracy; and it is thus:

\*Guy Earl of Burgogne,

\*who had taken to Wife

Daniel's History, p. 29, 30. in

vita W. 1. Edit. 1634. & Sir

John Heyward's History of W. 1.

p. 14, 15. Edit. 1613

' Alix, Daughter to Duke

Riebard the Second, and Aunt to William Duke of Normandy, conspired with Nicellus, President of Confrantine, Ranulph Viscount of Bayon, Raimond, and divers others, suddenly to surprize the Duke, and slay him in the Night. A certain Fool (nothing regarded for his want of Wit) observing their Preparations, secretly got away, and in the Dead of the Night came to Valogne, where the Duke then lay; no less slenderly guarded with Men, than the Place it self was slight for Desence: Here he continued rapping at the Gate, and crying out until it was opened, and he brought to the Presence of the Duke; to whom he declared the Conspiracy, with Circumstances of such Moment, that the Duke forthwith took his Horse, and posted alone towards Falais, an especial Place of Strength for Deserving the second strength of the Deserving Place of Strength for Deserving Place o

'D 3

fence. Presently after his Departure, the Conspirators came to Valogne; they beset the House, they enter by

force, they fearch every Corner for the Duke; and finding that the Game was start, and on foot, in hot haste

they pursued the Chase.

By these Reasons and Examples, therefore it may be reasonably inferred, that if a Fool do make a wise and reasonable Testament, the same ought to be allowed as lawful.

Nevertheless this is the truer Opinion, that such a Testament is not good; the Reason is, because a Testament

Swinburn in his Treatise of Teflaments and Last Wills, Second Part, Sect. 4. p. 41. b. Edit. 1590. is an A& to be performed with Discretion and Judgment: But a Natural Fool, by the general Presumption of Law, doth not under-

stand what he speaketh, tho' he seem to speak reasonably, no more than did Balaam's Ass, when he reasoned with his Master, or doth a Parrot speaking to the Passengers. And altho' Almighty God does sometimes so illuminate the Minds of very Natural Fools and Idiots, that they do well perceive, and understand what they speak; yet because this thing hapneth but very seldom, the Law doth not presume the same by occasion of Words only: And therefore, unless surther Proof made thereof, by other Circumstances, the Law doth not approve such Testaments.

Indeed, if it may appear by sufficient Conjectures, that they had the Use of Reason, or Understanding, at such time as they did make their Testaments, then doth the former Opinion take place. Decius in L. Furiosi, C. qui Testa. fac. poss. & in L. in negotiis Reg. Jur. F.

F

C

th

P

di

bu

by

di

cl

le

# IX. QUERY.

f an Idiot above the Age of 21 Years, makes a Feoffment in Fee of his Inheritance, how, and in what manner this Feoffment may be avoided, during his Life?

#### SOLUTION.

If it be found by Office at the King's Suit, that he was

ldiot a Nativitate, and that he aliened his Lands,

orş by

dfte

be

nd as

e-

nt

ed

g-

ol,

n

T-

y,

b

n-

u-

at

W

T

.

ıt

h

Co. lib. 4. f. 124. a. 127. Bewerley's Cale.

then upon a Scire facias against the Alience, the Land

hall be seized into the King's Hands, and thereby the Inheritance shall be re-vested in the Idiot. 18 E. 3. Scire Facias 10. 32 E. 3. Scire Facias 106. 50. Ass. Pl. 2. For the Statute of Prærogativa Regis saith, Quod post mortem eorum reddat eam rectis Hæredibus; which the King cannot do, nor can the King have the possession of the Land to his own use, if not that by the Office and Seizure, such Conveyance made by the Idiot be destroy-

ed, and that doth not impugn the Maxim at the Common Law \*: For in this Case the Idiot in no Plea that he can plead, shall disable, or stultine himself; but all is found by Office by the Inquisition, and Ver-

\* It is a Maxim of the Common Law of England, That the Party shall not disable himself, Co. Lit. 247. b. 10 Lib. 4. f. 123. b. Bewerley's Case, Cro El. f. 398. Stroud v. Marshal. Co. 3. Inst. 215. Littleton, sett. 405.

cias

dict of twelve Men, at the King's Suit, who are not concluded to speak the Truth; and such Office when it is found, shall have relation a tempore Nativitatis, to avoid all mean Acts made by the Idiot, as Feoffments, Releases, &c. And therewith agreeth 23 E. 3. Scire Fa-

D 4

cias 106. Stamford's Prærogative 34. F. N. B. 202. C. But notwithstanding the Words of the Statute of Praros, Regis are general, and emphatical, Nullatenus alienan tur; yet if he Alien by Fine, or Recovery, it shall bind him, or acknowledge a Statute or Recognisance, neither his Heirs, nor his Executors, shall avoid it; for these are Matters of Record, which shall not be avoided by a bar Averment of Non Compos Mentis, for the Inconvenience which may follow thereupon. Also such Averment is a gainst the Office and Dignity of the Judge, for he ough not to take any Conusance of a Fine, or Recognisanced him who is Non Compos Mentis. 18 E. 2. Fines 120. 17 Aff. Pl. 17. 17 E. 3.

# X. QUERY.

A Fine levied by an Idiot, or Natural Fool, what it ope rates ?

#### SOLUTION.

Anno 23 Eliz. In the Court of Wards, the Case was

this: That Henry Bushler

car

the

his

9

th

hi fai

th

fo

th

fa

tl

t 0

d h

a

(

seized in Fee of certain Mich. 12 Jac. Regis Mansfield's Lands in North-Mins, in Cafe, Co. Lib. 12. f. 123, 124.

the County of Hertford by his Will, in Writing, demised the faid Lands to Hem Bushley, his Son, in Tail; the Remainder to one William

Bushley.

And for this, that his Son was within Age, he demised the Education of him to Thomas Harrison, whom he made his Executor. And afterwards it hapned, that Henry the Son became a monstrous and deformed Crip ple, and proved an Idiot, a Nativitate: The which Idiot, by the Practice of one Nichols, and others, was ravished and taken out of the Custody of his Guardian, and was carried carried upon Mens Shoulders to a Place unknown, and there kept in secret, until he had acknowledged a Fine of his Lands to one Botham, before Justice Southcot, Anno 9 Eliz. And by Indenture between them, the use of the said Fine was declared to the use of the Cognizee, and his Heirs; which Botham conveyed, Anno 12 Eliz. the said Land to one Henry Mansfield. And Anno 12 Eliz. the said Henry Bushley, the Son, by Inquisition, was found an Idiot a Nativitate; and upon this in Anno 33. the Court of Wards took Order for the Possession of the said Lands.

And it was moved, as a Doubt in the said Court of Wards, Whether the said Fine should be to the Use of the said Idiot, and his Heirs? For notwithstanding that the Fine, which is of Record, binds the Idiot for the Causes aforesaid, yet the Indentures are not sufficient to direct the Uses. But it was resolved, that forasmuch as

he was enabled by the Fine, as to the Principal, he shall not be disabled to limit the Uses, which are but as ac-

Vide Co. Lib. 2. f 58. Beckwith's Case, & Co. lib. 10. Portington's Case.

ceffory.

C.

rog.

an

oind the

are

pare

na

gh

ed

17

le in da ry

And the same is the Law of an Insant, and Feme Covert. And the said Manssield brought an Action of Trespass in the Common Pleas, against one Trest, the Farmer of the said Lands, and the Issue was to be tried at the Bar: And the said deformed Idiot was sent out of the Court of Wards, to be shewn to the Judges of the Common Pleas, and to the Jurors there tried and sworn; and being brought upon a Man's Shoulders, the Judges hearing, that the Title of Manssield was under the said Fine levied by that Idiot, the Lord Dyer, and the Court, by Consent of Parties, caused a Juror to be withdrawn; and the Lord Dyer said, That the Judge who took the Fine, was never worthy to take another: But notwithstanding this, and altho' the monstrous Deformity, and Idiocy

# 42. The Law of Non Compos Mentis.

Idiocy, of Bushley, was apparent and visible, yet the Fine stood good.

eni

ne as

till

ve

th

om

57

res

rav. Ot

lob

elo

urc

he

or

inc

74

OC STU

Th

Yo

Blo

ing

mu

vie

Fi

### XI. QUERY.

A Fine levied by J. S. Uncle of an Idiot, who was seized of the Inheritance, (the said J. S. dying in the Life of H. the Idiot), whether this Fine so levied, can bar the Grand-child of J.S.?

#### SOLUTION.

Trespass upon Not Guilty, and a special Verdict, the Case was, Tenant for Life,

cro. Car. f. 525, & 543. Ed. Reversion to William Romards v. Rogers.

Reversion to William Romards v. Rogers, an Idiot in Fee; Andrew Rogers, his Uncle,

levies a Fine, Come Ceo, &c. with Proclamation to Robert Crompton; and had Issue John, who had Issue William the Defendant, and died. William the Idiot died without Issue; William the Desendant enters as Heir unto him, viz. Son and Heir of Fohn, Son and Heir of the faid Andrew; And whether he may claim against this Fine of his Grand-father (not claiming by the Grandfather, but deriving only his Pedigree from him) was the Question? And it was argued by Rolls for the Plaintiff, That forafmuch as William Rogers is Heir to Andrew his Grand-father, Uncle to the faid William the Idiot, he is estopped to claim against this Fine, or to say, Quod partes ad finem nibil babuerunt. And for Proof thereof, he relied upon the Statute of 27 E. 1. of Fines, Co. lib. 3. f. 89. 10 Car. Scovel & Braftock's Cafe, Co lib.3. f.30. Sir George Brown's Case, & Saule & Clerk's Case. But it was argued by Farrer for the Defendant, that this Fine shall not bar, because he claims not any Interest by, or from Andrew, nor as Heir unto him, but only makes mention

37

re

c,

.

ention of him in the Pedigree, Co. Litt. f. 8. 2 E.3. 6. . lib. 8. 53. Symms Cafe, &c. And that here he is Quafi, of another Title, and Puifny to the Fine. rkly, and Croke, delivered their Opinions, That this ne by Andrew, the Uncle of William the Idiot, who as seized of the Inheritance (he dying in the Life of filliam, so as nothing ever attached upon him) shall ver bar William the Defendant, who was Grand child the faid Andrew, because he claims nothing by, or om him; but only from William the Nephew of Anrew, who furvived the said Andrew: And he makes Title as Heir to the said William, the Nephew who as last seized, not making therein any mention of Anrew, as of one from whom he claims, but only as rawing his Descent from him by way of Pedigree, and ot by way of Title; and therefore it was compared to dobbes Case, Litt. fol. where the Father is attainted of clony, having Issue two Sons; and the one of them urchaseth Lands, and ties without Issue, it shall not bar ne other Son to claim, as Heir to his Brother: And the forruption of Blood in the Father shall not hurt him. nd Berkley compared it to the Case, 10 Eliz. Dyer 74. where there were two Brothers; the Eldest hath good Cause del petition de droit; the Youngest hath fue a Son, and is attainted of Felony, and executed: The Eldest Son dieth without Issue; the Issue of the Younger Brother is barred of the Petition, because his Blood is corrupt, and he cannot claim, but by mentioning his Father, and from him, &c. But here, forafmuch as he doth not claim, nor derive by him who levied the Fine; they held, he should not be barred by the Fine.

### XII. QUERY.

Whether the Custody of an Idiot holding by Copy of Con Roll, belongs to the King by his Prerogative, or to Lord of the Copy-bold Mannor?

#### SOLUTION.

The King, (fay the Jude Co. Lib. 4. f. 127. b. in Beverley's Case) shall for have the Custody of the Lands of an Idiot holden by Coho py; for the same is but an Estate at Will by the Common Law: And if the King should have the Custo antithereof, it would be mischievous to the Lord of the Marier nor; but yet, an Alienation made by an Idiot of leas Copyhold-Land, after Office sound, shall be avoid nou Vide II El. Dyer 302.

It is a Rule in the Court of Wards, That if an Id Goo

has not any Goods or Ch tels, or Lands, but Cop hold-Lands, held of a con mon Person, the King h

Pasch. 13 Eliz. John Rogers's Cafe, C. W. f. 74.

not have the Cuftody, but the Lord of whom the Cop Vb hold is holden; but if he has any other Land, then the Copyhold-Land also.

In the Court of Wards it was clearly agreed by the

Council of that Court That a Copyholder, who 13 & 14 El. Dyer 302. b. 303. 4. 2 H. 7. 3. Noy 27. an Idiot, ought not to ordered in this Court fo

his Copyhold, but shall be done in the Court of the Lor of the Mannor.

Shop his

ne o

on

olo ne

rai

rej

ac.

0

0

1

we

con

Atte or o mal

# The Law of Non Compos Mentis.

Sheppard in his Court-Keeper's Guide, tells us, That ne Lord shall retain the opyhold-Land of the Idi-Cap. 19. p. 119. The Fourth Count, or Lunatick, till he to some to himself. Edit. 1656.

Note, One Sir Edward Champernon being Committee a Ward, who had a Mannor wherein were divers Copy-

olders, amongst whom ne was Mutus, & Surdus, ranted the Custody of that

Concerning such as are deaf and dumb. See Bracton, lib. 5. tract. 5. cap. 20. n. 2. f. 421.a.

45

Ill Lopyhold-Land to another,
Ill Lopyhold-Land to another,
Ill Lopyhold-Land to another,
It is compared the Prochein for the Prochein 12.3. D. 28. 1.6. 1. Swinburn 2d Part, § 10. Cod. 6. 22. 10.
In the first the Question. And it was resolved, That the Lord is could have the Custody; for otherwise he should be rejudiced in his Rents and Services; and his Grant was included to the Grantee. Crophology in the Grantee. Crophology is the Grantee. Good: Wherefore it was adjudged for the Grantee. Cro. ac. f. 105. Eavers v. Skinner. C.

### XIII. QUERY.

Whether there be any Difference between an Estate made, or conveyed in Person, or by Attorney, as to an Idiot, or any other Non compos mentis.

### SOLUTION.

There is a diversity taken in the Books of Law, beween an Estate made, or Co. 1.4. f. 125. Beverley's Cafe onveyed in Person, and by Attorney: For if an Idiot, of Non compos mentis. or other Non compos mentis,

makes a Feoffment in Fee, in propria persona, and dieth, his Heir within Age, he shall not be in Ward; or if he dieth

dieth without Heir, the Land shall not Escheat; but the Feossment be made by Letter of Attorney, althorhall not avoid the same 5 yet after his Death, as to others in Judgment of Law, the Estate was void, at therefore in such Case, if his Heir be within Age, he shall be in Ward; or if he dieth without Heir, the Land shall Escheat. And likewise, in the Case of an Infant, if maketh a Feossment in Person, if he dieth without He the Land shall not Escheat; but otherwise, if it were made by Letter of Attorney; but the Infant himself shall may avoid it, but others shall: But things done by matters Record, as Fines, Recoveries, Judgments, Statutes, Recompose mentis, 31 E. 3. Saver Default 371. I Man Dum suit infra atatem 7.

A Grant of an Infant, (faith Finch) under the Age

Lib.2. c.2. of Possessions, p. 102, 103. Edit. 1627.

his right Mind (whom we call, Non Sane memorie, we Non compos mentis) as a

Idiot may be avoided at any time, by Entry, Action &c. or a Feoffment by Letter of Attorney, &c. if they deliver it with their Hands, as in a Feoffment, and themselves make Livery, or a Gift of Goods, and themselves deliver them; but if they deliver not with their Hand, as in a Grant of a Rent, Advowson, &c. it is meerly void, and nothing at all passeth, so as they may have a Trespass, or Assize, and remain Tenant to the Lord, and therefore shall be in Ward, notwithstanding such Feoffment.

10

d

# XIV. QUERY.

A Man dies seised of Land, his Heir being an Idiot, or Sot Natural, and before Office he levies a Fine, whether the King shall have the Lands, per Prærogativam Regis, cap. 9. or not?

#### SOLUTION.

Home devie seisi de Terre, son Heire esteant sotte natural, & avant Office il leva sine, le Roy navera les terres per Prarogativam Regis, cap. 9. Car ne serra intende Court de Gards & Liveries.

que fuit sotte Conuter le Fine. Edit. 1594.

to:

, an

e (h

fha

if h

rnad I m

cr

R

Ma

ge d

t o

ion

and

TD-

nay the

ng

dit del Justice que prist le sine, car serra intend que le Justice ne voile prender sine de luy, si ust este Ideot. Englished thus: A Man seized of Land, his Heir being an Idiot, and before any Ossice, this Idiot levieth a Fine, the King shall not have the Custody of the Lands by his Prenogative; for that it shall not be intended, or presumed, that he was a Natural Fool against the Fine levied; because this will impeach the Reputation, or Credit, of the Judge, before whom the Caption of the Fine was: For it shall be presumed, that the Judge would not take the Fine, if he had not been an Idiot.

## XV. QUERY.

Idiots in the Custody of the Prince, whether the Custody of an Idiot can be devised by the Testator?

SOLU

#### SOLUTION.

Concerning Idiots, such is the Prerogative of the Prin ees of this Land, that they shall have the Custody of all

Swinburn in his Treatise of Testaments and Last Wills, 3. Part, § 11. nu. 13. p. 99. a.b. Edit.

the Lands of Natural Fook and may take the Profits thereof without waste, a destruction, of whose Fe foever the fame be holden

it

th

110

10

tro

Eg

finding to them Necessaries; and after the Death of sud Idiots, the Land must be restored to the right Heirs : But in the mean time; that is to fay, during the Life of the Idiot, the Tuition of the Idiot, or of his Lands, cannot be devised by Testament to any other Person, contrary to the Course of the Common Law, in prejudice of him to whom the Wardship doth belong, saving the Testator may commit the Custody of such Goods and Chattels as he

Si quidem unusuisque potest rebus sus quam velit legem imponere. Mautic, 1.7. tit. 1. nu. 38. Et Testatoris voluntas habetur pro lege L. Servus de madoth bequeath to the Idiot, to whom he will, and de da ring to long time as he will \*.

numiff. licet alias videatur per Fitzherbert's Nat. Br. de Idins inquirendo quod bona que Idiote obvenirent, suo Gardiano accrescunt. Quere tamen per Stamford Super Prærogativam Regis, c. Idiet C.

# XVI. QUERY.

Idiocy, whether in any Case triable in the Ecclesiastical Court ?

#### SOLUTION.

Mich. 15 Jac. B.R. InterPercher & Wheeble, per Curiam & Dr. Godolphin in his Repertorium Canonicum, c. 11. p. 120. § 17.

If an Administrator suc for a Legacy due to the De ner ceased in the Ecclesiastical Car Court, and the Defendant he

plead

wel of E

ucl

vas

plead the Release of the Deceased, and the Plaintiff avoid it, for that the Deceased was an Idiot; that Idiocy shall be tried there, and no Prohibition shall be granted, for that they have Jurisdiction of the Original Matter; and all that according to the old Rule, to be found in the Re-ols, gifter, and in the Books of Law, Non est confonum Ra-

fit tioni, quod cognitio accesforsi in Curia Christiani-Fa vatis impediatur, ubi cogni-

0

nay

iot,

lists

und,

.

ical

ead

Cujus Juris, (i. e. Jurifdi-. Ationis) est principale, ejusdem Juris erit accessorium.

en sio cause principalis ad fo-un Ecclesiasticum noscitur pertinere. Register Orig. f. But 58. a. Co. 2 Inst. s. 493. Cro. Jac. s. 269. Roberts Case, the Cro. Car. Netter v. Bret. Cro. Jac. s. 348. Egerton v. not Egerton. 12 Co. s. 69. Tit. Court Eccletiastical, C. Bultonde's Reports, Second Part, s. 210, 211. Egerton v. 10 Egerton.

## XVII. QUERY.

du In Executor having obtained fulgment in an Accompts and having the Defendant in Execution for Arrerages; and the Testament being afterwards annulled for Idiocy in the Testator, whether the Testament being disapprowed, an Audita Querela will lie for the Defendant?

# SOLUTION.

Anno 35 H. 8. in the Exchequer Chamber a Case was well debated by the Justices 3 & 4 Eliz. Dyer, f. 203. b. fboth Benches, which was 204. a Co. lib. 8. f. 144. Druuch : One Moyer, who ry's Cafe. fue was Executor of the Telta-

De nent of John Gisors, sued a Writ of Account against one ical Carvanel, as Receiver of the Money of the said Gisors; ant he Defendant pleads, Ne unques Receiver pur Accompt

render: And it was found for the Plaintiff, and Judge ment given, that he should account; and upon this a Capias ad Computandum was awarded: Whereupon the Defendant came in, and Accounts in Ward, and he was found in Arrerages, and his Body was committed to Prifon for Execution. And after the faid Testament was annulled, by Sentence in the Spiritual Court; for that the faid John Gifors, the Testator was an Idiot from his Birth and this Record Spiritual is certified into the Chancery by Writ, and thence fent into the King's Bench, where the Action of Accompt was brought. And the faid Carve nel fued forth an Audita Querela in the fame Court, containing this Matter in his Writ, and a Venire Facing against Morer, who demurred in Law upon the whole Matter: And it was resolved, that the Audita Querel did lie, because the Will was disapproved and an nulled.

# XVIII. QUERY.

Whether an Attornment made to a Grant by an Idiot. other, Non compos mentis, can be good in Law?

#### SOLUTION.

A Man that is an Idiot, or other Non compos menti cannot Attorn : For he who is [ Amens, ] without Unda standing, cannot make an Attornment, which is an A greement : And yet if a Man Non compos mentis, be Lelle for Years, rendring Rent, and the Leffee ejecteth him only and maketh a Feoffment, and afterwards the Non compor if mensis re-entreth; this Act of Re-entry doth subject him the felf to Distress, and an Action of Waste, altho he came Pre make an express Attornment. Coke in his Comment Littleton, fett. 566. f.3 15. a. 6 Co. 69.a. Sir Moyle Finch latir Cafe. 32 E. 3. Age 80. 18 E 3. 35.

XI

V

L

fo tic

an

Id

tio

Idi Lo

ldi

of

No

1

I

# XIX. QUERY.

Whether an Inquisition (hall bind an Idiot, without an Examination by the Council?

25 j.

10

da

effe

nin

to

XI)

#### SOLUTION.

It was faid by Dyer in the Case of one Brent, that the Law is, Altho' a Man be found an Idiot by Inquisi-Dallison's Rep. pl. 19. f. 95. tion, yet he ought to be ex-

amined by the Counsel, and affirmed by them to be an Idiot, or otherwise he shall not be bound by the Inquisition. And he faid further, That Brent was found an Idiot by Inquisition; and after being examined by the Lords of the Star-Chamber, he was adjudged to be no ldiot; whereupon he was delivered from the Thraldom of Idiocy.

# XX. QUERY.

No Possessions in Lands descending to an Idiot, but only a Right, whether the King can enter, and have the Custody of it ?

#### SOLUTION.

If there descend to an Idiot no Possession in Lands, but only a Right, be it Right of Entry, or Title of Entry, or Right of Action, the King shall not enter, and have the Custody of the same, 1 H. 7. 15. Stamford Super m Prerog. Regis, c. 9. f. 35. b. Edit. 1567.

Hitherto of the Description, Remarks, and Queries, relating to an Idiot, or Sot Natural: I proceed now to speak of the Furor Man, that is totally bereft of his Wits.

PART

i

# PART the Second.

Of him who is by Accident wholly deprived of his Wits.

#### SECT. I.

This fort of Non Compos Mentis how described.

HE is faid to be one, that was of good and found Memory, and by the Visitation of God, through

Furor est continuata mentis Alienatio, qua quis omni intellestu caret, D. 1. 18. 14. & Gothofredus ad Lor. forme Sickness, Grief, or other Accident, utterly lofeth his Memory, and Understanding; and so falk into some high, or low de

gree of Fury or Madness. Co. Litt. f. 247.a. & 4Co. f. 124.h. Beverley's Case of Non compos mentis.

SECT

d

al

bigi

Polo

in

to

m

P

an

ed

Ve \* Sp th M are

Re in

### SECT. II.

The Remarks concerning Mad, or Distracted Persons.

#### I. REMARK.

THE true Account of the Cause of Distraction is this: When the Animal Spirits, by some Accident or

other, are so over-heated, that they become unserviceable to cold and sedate Reasoning; and then Reason being thus laid aside, Fancy

d.

nd

gh

Œ

0

n-

c

b.

Dr Goodman's Treatife, entituled, The Penitent pardoned, under the Parable of the Prodigal, Part 1. C. 5. p. 123, 124.

gets the Ascendent, and Phaeton-like, drives on suriously, and inconsistently. This Combustion of the Spirits happens, sometimes by over-great Intention of the Mind, in long and constant Study; sometimes by a Fever, which instaming the Blood, that communicates the Incendium to the Spirits, which take the Original from it: But most usually by the Rage and Violence of some of the Passions, (whether Irascible, or Concupisible, as they

are wont to be distinguished) a Man setting his Heart vehemently upon some \* Object or other, the Spirits are set on fire, by the Violence of their own Motion; and in that Rage are not to be governed by

\* Mad-men have always before their Eyes, those Idea's and Shapes which work the Apprehension of their Fury, and hold them in the Vision and inward View of that which most troubleth their diseased Brain. French Academy, c. 18. Of Intemperance.

Reason. This we have sad Examples of, in Love, in Grief, in Jealousie, in Wrath, and Vexation; and indeed, (saith my Author) Bethlehem is filled with the Instances.

E 3

#### II. REMARK.

By the Statute of Prærogativa Regis, the King of England is to provide, that the Lands of the Furor Men

be fafely kept, without waste; and that they, and their Families, (if they have any) shall be maintained with the Profits thereof; and that the Residue be kept for their use, and delivered unto them, when they come to be of right Mind: So as their Land shall not be aliened, neither shall the King have any Profit thereof to his own use: But if they die in such Estate, the Residue shall be distributed for their Souls, by the Advice of the Ordinary.

#### III. REMARK.

The words of F. N. B. 232. That the King is bound of Right, by his Laws, to defend his Subjects, and their Goods, and Chattek, Lands, and Tenements, ex-

tend as well to one Non compos Mentis, as a Mad-man, as to an Idiot a Nativitate; but in Case of Non compos mentis, the King shall not have Interest in a Mad-man, that is wholly deprived of his Understanding, as he hath in the Idiot; because that a distracted Man may recover his Memory that he hath lost; and therefore, in the Case of the Idiot, or Fool Natural, the Law saith, Rex babebit Custodiam; but in the Case of a Mad-man, or Non compos mentis, Rex providebit. And as to Alienation made by a Man distracted, the words are all one, as they are in the Case of the Idiot; and therefore, after Office found thereof, the Alienation, Gift, &c. of him who is by Accident deprived of his Wits, are in equal Case with the Alienation, or Gift of an Idiot a Nativitate. And

the

th mild as

90.

Di

W

Id

tu

67

94

de

ter

th Id

Nto

217

by

to

A

fo

74

41

the said words of the Writ, in the Register, Quia accepimus quod J. de B. fatuus & Idiota existit, &c. extend as well to another Non com-90s mentis, as Idiota a nati-

of hat

len

eir ith

eir

of ci-

fe:

n-

nd

to nd

k,

Os

h

Register of Original and Judicial Writs, f. 266. a.

vitate, a Fool Natural: For afterwards, in the same Writ it is said, Diligenter inquiras, si Idem fatum & Idiota, sit necne, & fi fit, tum utrum a nativitate fua,

Register, f. 2668.

en ab alio tempore, tunc a quo tempore & qualiter, & quomodo, & si lucidis gaudeat intervallis, & si Idem J. in eodem statu emistens terras, aut Tenementa aliqua alienavit necne, &c. So that it appeareth, that in Judgment of Law, Fatuus, & Idiota, include as well Non compos mentis, as Idiota a Nativitate; and therefore they are in the same Case, as to the Alienation of their Lands, and Tenements, Goods, and Chattels.

#### IV. REMARK.

A Furor Man cannot appoint an Attorney, as appears by Britton; for he tells us, Chefcun ne puit mye faire attorne. Car enfant dedens Age, ne muet, we furd, ne Cap. 126. f. 285. b. fol naistre ne bomme arrage, ou auterment sans discretion, ne puit mye faire attornes.

#### V. REMARK.

There is required in them who contract Matrimony, a found and whole Mind to confent; and therefore, he that

Furor, fays the Lawyer Gaius. Sponsalibus impedimento sit, plus quam manifestum est. D. 23. 1.8. Furor contrabi Matrimonium non finit, quia consensu opus est, says

Paulus, D. 23.2. 16. 2.

Furiofus Matrimonium contrabere non potest, Decret, Greg. 1.4. tit. I. c. 24. Innocentius 3. Versil. Episcopa. dilectus filius R. proposuit, quod filiam suam cuidam Matrimonaliter copulavit, Cum autem eadem mulier, cum ipso viro, qui continuo furore laborat, morari non posit, & propter alienationem mentis non potuerit intervenire consensus: mandamus, quatenus si rem noveris ita effe, prefatas personas cures ab invicem separare.

is mad, or diffracted, without Intermission of Fury. cannot enter into the Bonds of Wedlock: So fays the Author of the Treatifes entituled, The Woman's Lawyer, 1. 2. fect. 10. p. 57. And with him concurs Amesius, in his Cases of Conscience: The Consent of Wedlock, (faith he) must be voluntary and free, elfe it is not esteemed a Human Consent: And hence, the Confent of fuch as have not use of Reason, (as Madmen ) is of no force to fuch a Contract, Lib. 5. be

mi

cas

cre

Ca

de

til

n

th

di

21

C

C

d

h

t

c. 35. Question 4. nu. 24, 25. p. 201. Engl. Edit. 1643. Such one may not consent to Marriage, and his Issue will not be legitimate. Trin. 3 Fac. B. Regis. Stiles.

#### VI. REMARK.

Furor impedit Matrimonium contrabendum, sed non dirimit contractum.

Tho' Furor, or Madness, hinders the contracting of Matrimony, yet it shall not take away that Marriage that is already contracted, as appears by the Civil and

Canon Laws, D. 23. 1. 8. D. 23. 2. 16. 2. Instit. Juris Canonici Lib. 2. Tit. 12. Arnoldus Corvinus, in his Jus Canonicum, Lib. 2. Tit. 13. de Nuptiis. VII.

#### VII. REMARK.

A Furor Man ought not to be a Witness in any Cause. be it either Civil, or Criminal, Decret. 2. a. Pars Furiosus aut mente Captus, non caus. 3. Qu. 19. c. 14. Depotest effc Teftis. cret. Greg. Lib. 3. Tit, 27. c. 3. de Successionibus ab intestato, Corvinus in his Jus

Canonicum, lib. 3. tit.27. de Testibus. Ulpianus, tit. 20. de Testamentis. D. 28. 1. 20. 4. Swinburn in his Treatise of Wills, Part 4. Sect. 21. f. 186. a. Edit. 1590.

### VIII. REMARK.

Children, and Mad-men, \* altho' they have not the next actual power of uting things, yet they have a radical power, because they are Men: Amefius in his Cases of Conscience, lib. 5. c. 41. qu. 1. feet. 6. And by the Law of Nations, Children are then capable of inheriting † an Estate, tho' they be justly restrained from managing of it, by reason of their immature Judgment. Grotius de jure

hat

th-

ry,

ads the

11

w.

17. A-

mof

ufi le

an

he

10

d-

to

5.

3.

ıc

\* Lex duodecim Tabularum, fu-

riosum, in curatione jubet esse Agnatorum. Ulpian, tit. 12. de Curatoribus. .

† Plutarch de Fort. Alex. 1.2. speaking of Children saith, That Children have a Right to the Inheritance, but not to the ule of it.

belli & pacis, Lib. 2. Cap. 5. Sect. 2.

#### IX. REMARK.

Bracton in his Treatife of the Laws and Customs of England, shewing by what Persons possession of things

Furiosus sine tutoris auctoritate non potest incipere possidere: Quia affectionem tenendi non habet, licet maxime corpore suo rem contingat; Sicuti si quis dormienti aliquid in manu ponat, D. 41. 2. 1. 3.

may be acquired, fays thus of the furious Man; Furiosus affectum retinendi babere non poterit sine Curatore, quia non est alind de eo,nisi ad similitudinem ejus, qui dormienti pluviam in

cont

re

raé

ind

Civ

are

Nu

qu.

F.

lib. 9

> p. I 1. 6

> > FL

20

lib

6:

27

manum projecerit. Et qui accipere debet, & retinere, 5.2 oportet quod habeat affectionem, & intellectum percipien lib. di, & retinendi. Item qui curare debet, & Custodiam babere, oportet eodem modo quod babeat Intellectus, quis si furiosum moseris ut possideas, nequaquam per eos vide ris possessionem apprehendisse, quia intellectum non babet.

#### X. REMARK.

He that is a Mad-man, is uncapable to be a Judge, or

Non omnes Judices dari possunt, qui Judicis dandi jus habent : Quidam enim Lege impediuntur ne Judices fint, quidam natura; quidam meribus, natura; ut surdus, mutus, & perpetuo furiosus, & impubes, qua judicio carent, D.5. I. 12. 2.

an Arbitrator, for want of Understanding and Discretion. Mirror of Juffices, c. 2. fect. 2. p. 116. Weft. Symb. part 2. feet. 23,26, 27. Neither can be an Effoiner, or Excufator. Mirror, c. 2. fect. 30. p. 175.

#### XI. REMARK.

One that is a Mad-man, cannot Attorn; for that he that hath no Understanding, cannot agree to the Grant. 18 E.3.53. 6 Co. f.69. a. Six Moyle Finch's Cafe.

XII.

#### XII. REMARK.

To a lawful Contract there are required Persons fit to

nus contract: Hence Mad-men nus contracts, or Alienations; and contracts, or Alienations; and contracts, or Alienations; and countries, de contracts are deservedly accounted nullities. Amesius, 1.5.c.42. in qu. 1. of Contracts, D. 39. c. 5.23 1. Cowel's Institutes in lib. 2. tit. 8. n. 3. p. 108. The N. B. f. 292. C. Fleta lib. 3. c. 3. n. 10. p. 178, de lib. 2. c. 56. nu. 19. p. 122. Bracton, lib. 3. tract. 1. c. 2. n. 8. f. 100. A.

of

Note, That every Alienation of a Man's Right, all Contracts betwint Man and Man, all Leagues, &c. betwist Princes, ought to be done with found Judgment; therefore the Acts of the Will, that are express'd with overt figns, are to be understood, the Acts of a Mind endued with Reason, of which a Man distracted is wholly deprived. and therefore uncapable of performing any profitable things : which made King Achish Say to his Servants, Lo, you fee the Man is mad; Wherefore then have ye brought him to me? 1 Sam. 21. 13, 14, 15.

& lib. 5. tract. 5. c. 20. nu. 1. de Exceptionibus. Fleta, lib. 6. c. 40. nu. 1. p. 434. Cowel, lib. 3. tit. 20. nu. 7. p. 161. Fleta, lib. 2. c. 60. nu. 26. Cowel, lib. 2. tit. 7. nu. 4. de Donatibus. Britton, c.28. f.62.b. 63. a. & c.34. f. 90. a. Mirror de Justices, c. 2. sect. 27. p. 161.

#### XIII. REMARK.

In all Conveyance, or Purchase for Joynture, unless it be by Fine, or Common Recovery, he which makes the Estate, must be a Person able to convey, &c. at the time of the Joynture making; or else it is not good.

He must not therefore be Attaint of Treason, an Alien born, under Age, or Non compos mentis, a Mad-man.

The Woman's Lawyer, lib, 3. c. 31. p. 188.

#### XIV. REMARK.

It is a good Exception for the Person of him, that complaineth, or bringeth any Action, to fay, he is Fariosus, a Mad-man, because such a one differeth not much from a Beast, that wants Reason, Lib. 5. tract. 5. c.20. nu. 1. f. 420. b. Fleta, lib. 6. cap. 38. nu. 1. Stamfol Super Prærogativam Regis, cap. 10. fol. 36. b. Edit. 1567.

#### XV. REMARK.

He that promiseth, should be endued with Reason which renders the Promises of Mad-men void, and of

Promissio requirit usum Ratiowis in promittente; ideo furiofi mulla est promissio.

no force, Grotius de jun bells & pacis, lib. 2. c. 11. And it is the fame Law in case of Oaths made that

by of 1

Bel

ve)

ble

fin or

Fi bu

B

Animus rationis compos & de-

Liberatus.

by Men distracted: For they that swear, should be of found Mind, and should uk great deliberation, before they take any Oaths. dem, lib. 2. c. 13. fect. 2.

Sheppard in his Abridgment, tit. Idiots and Lunaticks, tells us, That a Mad-man cannot promise, or contract for eny thing to bind himfelf.

#### XVI. REMARK.

A Copyholder of unfound Memory, as a Furor Man, cannot make a Forfeiture of his Estate. Sheppard's Court-Keeper's Guide, cap. 22.

XVII. RE-

#### XVII. REMARK.

that

20

For L dit.

of

fe

Any Man may be a Steward of a Copyhold Mannor ; and therefore, if an Infant, Lunatick, or Non compos mentis, a Man distracted, be made Steward; all Acts hat he doth, according to his Office, are good. ard's Court-Keeper's Guide, cap. 19. p. 115.

### XVIII. REMARK.

Every Deed, Feeoffment, or Grant, which a Furorman makes, is avoidable, and yet shall never be avoided by himself; because 'tis a Maxim in Law, That no Man of full Age, shall, by any Plea, pleaded by him, be recived, to disable his own Person, or stultifie himself: Besides, another Reason is rendred, sc. Because that when he recovers his Memory, he cannot know what he did when he was Non compos mentis. 4 Co. 124. b. Beverly's Case. Littleton, sect. 405. Noy in his Treatise of the Grounds of the Laws of this Nation, cap. 28. Of Conveyances.

#### XIX. REMARK.

Altho' Mad-men themselves cannot be received to disable themselves, yet twelve Men, upon their Oaths, may find the Truth of the Matter, in the Case of a Feoffment, or other Transact in Pais: But if Mad-men alien by Fine, or Recovery, this shall not only bind themselves, but their Heirs also. Co. Litt. f. 247. a. 4 Co. 124. a. Beverley's Case of Non compos mentis. Perkins, fect. 24.

### XX. REMARK.

There are in our Books of Law found four feveral

Coke in his Comment on Littleton, § 405. f. 247. a. b.

Alienation, or other Act of a Man Non compos memin

of

Te

thi

pia

m

kr

F

m

P

n

23

П

S

2

C

11

6

Opinion, That he may avoid his own Act, by Entry,

or Plea.

Secondly, Others are of Opinion, That he may avoid

it by Writ, and not by Plea.

Thirdly, Others, That he may avoid it, either by Plea, or Writ; and of this Opinion is Fitzberbert, in his Natura Brevium.

Fourthly, Littleton, sect. 405. is of Opinion, That neither by Plea, nor by Writ, nor otherwise, he himself shall avoid it, but his Heir, in respect his Ancestor was Non compos mentis, shall avoid it by Entry, Plea, or Writ: And therewith the greatest Authorities of the Law-Books agree; and so it was resolved with Master Little

4 Co. f. 126, 127.

where it is said, That it is a Maxim of the Common

Law, That the Party Shall not disable bimself.

#### XXI. REMARK.

If a Furor Man, or a Man of Non Sane Memorie, make a Feoffment, &c. he himself cannot enter, nor have a Writ, Dum non fuit compos mentis; but after his Death, his Heir may well enter, or have the said Writ of, Dum non fuit compos mentis, at his Choice. Littleton, Sect. 406. Exposition of Terms of the Law, f. 138. a. b. tit. Dum non fuit compos mentis. Natura Brevium, f. 128.a.b. Edit. 1551.

XXII.

### XXII. REMARK.

Mad-folks, during the time of their Furor, or Infanity

of Mind, cannot make a Testament, nor dispose any thing by Will; no, not ad pias Caufas; the Reason is most forceable, because they know not what they do: For in making of Testaments, the Integrity and Perfectness of Mind, and

Veral the

a of

co

try,

oid

by

nat dí

726

10

7.

is

Furiosi testamentum facere non possunt, quia mente carent. In adversa corporis valetudine mente captus sempore testamentum facere non potest. Senium etatis vel agritudinem corporis, sinteritatem mentis tenentibus, testamenti factionem certum est non auferre.

not Health of the Body, is requisite; and thereupon arose that common Clause, used in every Testament almost: Sick in Body, but of perfect Mind, and Memory. Swinburn, in his Treatise of Testaments and Last Wills, 2d Part, fect. 3. f. 34. b. Edit. 1590. Inft. 2. 12. 1. Cod. 6. 22. 9. Cod. 6. 36. 5. Cod. 6. 22. 3. Cains, lib.2. tit. 2. de Testamentis. Ulpianns. tit. 20. de Testamentis. 6 Co. 23. Marquels of Winchester's Cafe.

#### XXIII. REMARK.

The Impediment of Furor, or Madness, is so strong, that if the Testator make his Testament after this Furor, or Madness have overtaken him, and whiles as yet it doth

possess his Mind, albeit the Furor afterwards departing, or ceafing, the Testator recover his former Understanding, yet doth not the Testament made, during his former Fit, recover any force \* or ftrength thereby.

Qued initio vitio sum est, non potest tractu temporis convalescere D.50.17.29.1178. 1.201. 1.210. 1.80. D. 34. 7. 1. D. 44. 7. 27. non firmatur 18, de Reg. in 6. D. 49. 1. 16. nifi duo, que seguuntur, concurrunt vitii sc. Ceffatio, & novissimus Actus, i.e. nifi principium ipfius rei utile fequatur, verbis, vel actibus extrinsecus declaratis, veluti Ratihabitime aliqua.

Cod.

# 64 The Law of Non Gompos Mentis.

Cod. 6. 22. 9. Instit. 2. 12. 1. Swinburn in his Tract of Wills, Part 2. sect. 3. nu. 3. p. 36.b. 37.a. Edit. 1590. Godolphin's Tract, entituled, The Orphan's Legacy, Part 1. cap. 8. nu. 2.

#### XXIV. REMARK.

If a Man, whilst he is mad, or in a distracted Condi-

Note, He that is enabled to the Principal; that is, the Fine shall not be disabled to do the Accessory, that is the declaring the uses. tion, be admitted by a Judge to levy a Fine, his Declaration of the Uses shall bind him and his Heirs, as long as the Fine remaineth in force, 2 Co. f. 58. b. Co. fou

947

me

do

mo

ha

kin

No

tak

C.

dif

m

th

fa

be

01

lif

lib. 12. f. 123. Mansfield's Case. 10 Co. f. 42. b. Mary Portington's Case.

#### XXV. REMARK.

If a Furor Man grant a Rent-Charge out of Land, his Heir may avoid it, and hold it discharged. Sheppard in his Abridgment. Tit. Idiots.

#### XXVI. REMARK.

He that is not a good Grantor, cannot make a good Grant, or Surrender of Copyhold-Land, without a special Custom to enable him thereunto: And hence it is, that a Surrender made by a Mad man is not good, but void in Law. Sheppard of Copyholds, c. 12. p. 117, 118.

#### XXVII. REMARK.

A Surrender, or Grant of Copyhold-Land, may be made to a Lunatick, and to one that was of good and found

# The Law of Non Compos Mentis.

65

found Memory, and by the Visitation hath lost it. Sheppard's Court-Keeper's Guide, c. 19. p. 118, 119.

of

ge

a- id g

n

0.

7

#### XXVIII. REMARK.

If a Man of None fane M. I. Mar. I. Br. Dum non fuit memorie be a Judge, all Acts compos mentis 7. done by, and before him, shall stand good in Law.

#### XXIX. REMARK.

If a Woman being in a Frenzy, and of unfound Memory, kill her Husband, or another Man or Woman, the shall not forfeit her Dower. 12 H. 3. Dower 183. Perkins, feet. 365. Tit. Dower.

#### XXX. REMARK.

A Descent, during Minority, Marriage, Imprisonment, Non Sanæ mentis, or being out of the Realm, do not take away an Entry. Noy in his Grounds of the Laws, c. 16. Of Descents.

#### XXXI. REMARK.

A Man becoming Non compos mentis, by Accident, is disseised, and suffers a Descent, albeit he recover his Memory and Understanding again, yet he shall never avoid the Descent. Coke in his Comment on Littleton, sect. 405. f.247.a.

XXXII. REMARK.

Albeit the Eldest Son of a Sovereign Prince be unfit to bear Rule, albeit he be unable to Govern, either others, or himself; as if he be in a high degree surious, or soo-lish, or otherwise desective in Body, or in Mind, (unless he

he degenerate from Humane Condition) yet he cannot therefore be excluded from Succession; because it is due unto him, not in respect of Ability, but by reason of his Priority of Birth. Sir John Heyward in the Life of William II. p. 147, 148. Edit. 1613.

From the Remarks come we to the Queries concerning

Mad-men.

### SECT. III.

The Queries with their Solutions, relating to Furor Men.

### I. QUERY.

Whether Madness, or Insanity of Mind, ought to be preved by him, that objecteth the same?

### SOLUTION.

E Very Person is presumed to be of persent Mind and Memory, unless the contrary be proved: And there-

Swinburn in his Treatife of Wills, Part 2. Sect. 3. n. 5. p. 37.a.b. Edit. 1590.

fore, if any Person go about to impugn, or overthrow a Teltament, by reason of Madness, Infanity of Mind,

(w

no

be

(b

ry

pr

fu far

M

the

the M

Te

feli no

m 10

me th:

tra

M

be

CV u

Œ

or want of Memory, he must prove that Impediment: And if it be demanded, Wherefore then is that usual Clause, [Of perfect Mind and Memory], so duly observed in every Testament, if he that doth prefer the Will be not charged with the Proof thereof? It may be an fwered,

# The Law of Non Compos Mentis.

is to be alledged

fwered, That that which is notorious, is to be alledged, not proved: And so this being accounted notorious, (because where the contrary appeareth not, the Law

ot

ne

uis

œ

ıg

g

ľ

1

prefumeth it) it need not be proved: And therefore 'tis supposed, that that Clause is more usual than necessary, and yet not hurtful.

### II. QUERY.

Madness before the making of a Testament, whether it can be presumed to continue?

#### SOLUTION.

It may be delivered for a Rule, That it is sufficient for the Party, which pleadeth

the Infanity of a Tettator's Swinburn, Part 2. Sect. 3. Mind, to prove, that the p. 37. b. 38. a. Edit. 1590. Testator was besides him-

felf, before the making of his Testament, altho' he do not prove the Testator's Madness, at the very time of the making of the Testament, the Reason is: It being proved, that the Testator was once mad, the Law presu-

meth him to continue still in

that Case, "unless the conthat the retail was office that, the Law presume eff,
nificontrarium probetur. D.23.3.

57. in fine. D. 4. 2. 23. D. 12.

4. 6. 10. 2 Inst. 477. 10. Litt.
373, b. Braston, l. 1. c. 9. 2. 4.
Fleta, l. 1. c. 6.

ved; and being proved, then he which is evil to be evil still. So concerning Furor, the Law presumeth every Man to have the use of Reason and Understanding, unless the contrary be proved; which being proved accordingly, then he is presumed in Law to continue still

F 2

VO

void of the use of Reason, and Understanding; unless the Testator were besides himself, but for a short time, and in some peculiar Actions, and not continually for a long space, as for a Month, or more; or unless the Testator sell into some Frenzy, upon some accidental Cause, which Cause is afterwards taken awaysor unless it be a long time time the Testator was assaulted with the Malady; for in these Cases the Testator is not presumed to continue in his sormer Furor, or Frenzy.

# III. QUERY.

Furor, or Madness, whether hard to be proved? And bow it may be proved?

#### SOLUTION.

It is a hard and difficult Point, to prove, a Man not to have the use of Reason;

Swinburn, Part 2. § 3.f.38.a. Edit. 1590. and therefore it is not sufficient for the Witnesses to depole, that the Tellator was

mad, or besides his Wits, unless they yield a sufficient Reason to prove this their Deposition; as that they did see him to do such Things, or heard speak such Words, as a Man having Wit, or Reason, would not have done, or spoken; namely, they did see him throw Stones against the Windows; or did see him usually to spit in Mens Faces; or being asked a Question, they did see him his like a Goose, or bark like a Dog, or play such other Parts as Mad-solks use to do. This, or the like Reason (whereby the Judge may be induced to esteem the Testator not to be of sound Mind) ought the Witnesses to yield, althorthey be not interrogated of the Cause of their Knowledge.

ad

or

ch

in is

### IV. QUERY.

Madness, whether it may be proved by singular Witnesses?

#### SOLUTION.

This Furor, or Madness, may be proved by singular Witness, so that the Witness be not singular in Swinburn in his Treatise of time, (for if one Witness Wills, Part 2. § 3. f. 38. a b. depose of the Madness of the

Testator at one time, and another Witness of his Madness at another time, this doth not sufficiently prove, that the Testator was mad:) But when the Witnesses agreeing in time, one deposeth of one mad Prank, another Witness of another mad Act at the same time; these prove, that the Testator was then mad, tho' they do not both depose of one and the same mad Act: But if some Witnesses do depose, That the Testator was of perfect Mind and Memory; and others depose the contrary, their Testimony is to be preferred, which depose he was of sound Memory; as well for that their Testimony tendeth to the Favour and Validity of the Testament, as for that the same is more agreeable to the Disposition of Nature; for every Man is a Creature reasonable.

# V. QUERY.

Whether the Grant of a Copyhold Estate, made by the Lord of the Copyhold Mannor, that is a Mad-man, can be good in Law?

#### SOLUTION.

It is to be known, That any Person who may be a Grantor in a Deed, may be a good Grantor of a Copyhold

Coke of Copyholds, f. 89. 4 Co. f. 23. Clerk & Penifather's Case. Sheppard's Court-Keeper's Guide, eap. 19. p. 108, 109, 4th Edit. 1656. 8 Co. f. 63. b. Swain's Case.

Estate: For this any Perfon, Man, or Woman, that hath a lawful Estate in a Mannor for a time, maybe a good Lord, to grant Copyholds, take Surrender,

make Estates and Admittances, according to the Custom of the Mannor, notwithstanding the Disability of his Person, or Exility of his Estate; and therefore 'tis held, that an Infant, an Excommunicate Person, a Person Outlawed in an Action Personal, a Felon before his Attainder by Outlawry, Verdict, or Confession, a Lunatick, or a Man distracted, being Lord of a Mannor, may grant Copyhold Estates for any time, according to the Custom of the Mannor, as another Man may do, and the Estates made by them are unavoidable.

# VI. QUERY.

A Mad-man being seized of Land, and granting a Rem-Charge out of this same Land, dies; his Heir entring, and the Grantee distraining for Rent-Arrear, whether the Heir may bring an Action of Trespass?

### SOLUTION.

of Land, in Fee, and die,

P. 12 E. 4. 8 H. 39. H. 6. and his Heir enter, and the
Perkins, § 21. Tis. Grants.

Grantee distrein for the
Rent behind, the Heir shall

have an Action of Trespass; but if the Grantee had difireined, in the Life of the Grantor, for the Rent behind, the Grantor should not have an Action of Trespass; for he cannot avoid his own Deed by disabling of himself.

### VII. QUERY.

A Man of Sane Memorie, seised of Land, makes a Feoffment in Fee; and after, when he is hesides himself, or distracted, makes a Letter of Attorney for Livery of Seizin, which is executed accordingly; the Feoffor dies, whether the Heir may lawfully enter upon the Feoffee?

SOLUTION.

If a Letter of Attorney to make Livery of Seifin, is made of certain Land, by a

Man of unfound Memory, 17 Aff. Pl. 17. Perkins, § 23.

and the Charter of Feoff- Tit. Grants.

old

hat o a

be

0

TS,

9 9

ld,

1 H

o d

made before, when he was of good Memory, and then Livery of Seisin was made by force of the Letter of Actorney, without other Assente of the Feosfor, and the Feosfor die, now his Heir may enter upon the Feosfee, but the Feosfor himself cannot enter.

### VIII. QUERY.

Whether the Entry of the Heir of a Furor Man be lawful, maugre a Descent had in the Life of his Ancestors?

### SOLUTION.

If a Man that is bereft of his Wits, hath cause to enter into his Lands and Tenements, which another hath

Littleton, Self. 405.

F 4

in Fee, &c. and fuffers a Discent during the time he was out of his Wits, and after dies, the Heir of Non Sane Memorie, may well enter upon him that is in by Discent; for the Heir in this case can well disable the Person of his Ancestors, for his own Advantage, because no Latches can be adjudged by the Law in him, which hath no Discretion in such case: And yet his Ancestors, which had the same Title, could not enter: For he who was out of his Memory at the time of such Discent, if he will enter after such a Discent, if an Action upon this be sued against him, he hath nothing to plead for himself, or to help him, but to say, that he was not of Sane Memorie, at the time of such Discent, &c. And he shall not be received to say this, for that no Man of sull Age shall be received in any Plea by the Law to disable his own Person.

### IX. QUERY.

What kind of Privies can disable him, who was deprived of the use of Reason and Understanding? Or, By whom, and what Asts done by a Mad-man, or one out of his Wits, can be avoided?

#### SOLUTION.

It is to be known, That the Disability to disable one's self, as to some Persons is personal, and extendeth only to the Party himself, and as to other Persons is not

personal; but shall bind them also: And as to that it is to be observed, that there are sour manner of Privities, sc. Privity in Blood, as Heir. 2. Privity in Representation.

Littleton, Sett. 337.

tation, as Executors, or Administrators; who, as Mr. Littleton saith, represent the Person

Perfe

Acc.

fion.

1s L

may

his

Priv

and

tor,

in T

bei

and

der

M

che Fe

con

the

CO

ne

the

VC m

217

R

Į

25

te

is

6

d

X

T

A

P

Person of the Testator, or Intestate, 2 Mar. Dyer 112. 3. Privity in Estate, as Donce in Tail, the Reverfion, or Remainder in Fee, &c. 4. Privity in Tenure, as Lord by Escheat; and two of which are Privies only may disable him who was Non compos mentis, and avoid his Deeds, Grants, and Feoffments, and two not: For Privies in Blood may shew the disability of the Ancestor, and Privies in Representation, the Infirmity of the Testator, or Intestate: But neither Privy in Estate, nor Privy in Tenure shall so do. And therefore if Donee in Tail. being Non compos mentis, maketh a Feoffment in Fee. and dieth without Issue, he in the Reversion, or Remainder, shall not enter, or take advantage of the Non Sane Memorie of the Doner. The fame Law of Lord by Efcheat, if his Tenant being Non compos mentis, maketh a Feoffment in Fee, and dieth without Heir, he shall not avoid it: But there are some Acts done by a Man of Non compos mentis, which none of them shall avoid; and therefore, if a Furor Man levieth a Fine, suffereth a Recovery, or acknowledgeth a Statute, or Recognisance, neither his Heirs, nor his Executors, shall avoid it; for these are Matters of Record, which shall not be avoided by a bare Averment of Non compos mentis, for the Inconvenience which may ensue thereupon. Also such Averment is against the Office and Dignity of the Judge; 18 E. 2. Fines 120. 17 Af. For he ought not to take Pl. 17.

any Conusance of a Fine, or

Recognisance of him who is Non compos mentis.

# X. QUERY.

Whether a Man distracted, or out of his Wits, he relievable in a Court of Equity, to avoid a Deed made by himself?

#### SOLUTION.

A. bound himself in a Bond of 1000 l. to B. and the Bond being sued against him, he exhibited a Bill in the Court of Requests, to be a

4 Co. f. 124. a. Beverley's Cafe. lieved against the same, and fet forth in his Bill, that a

the time of the entring into the said Bond, he was No compos mentis; and whether in this Case a Prohibition should be awarded, was the Question? And in this Case it was resolved, That the same being against an expension of the Common Law, That the Party shall not disable himself, that he shall not have Relief in any Com of Equity; for that shall be in Subversion of a Principle, and Ground in Law.

For the maintaining of this same Principle, I will subjoin a Judgment given by the Judges of the King's Bench in the Case of an Action of Debt, upon an Obligation

and it was thus :

Debt upon an Obligation. The Defendant pleads

Cre. El. f. 398. Pl. 4. Stroud V. Marsbal.

That at the time of the Obligation made, he was Do non fane memorie. And it was thereupon demund

and adjudged to be no Plea: For he cannot fave himsely such a Plea; and the Opinion of Fitzherbert held to be no Law. Wherefore it was adjudged for the Plaintist.

### XI. QUERY.

Whether this Maxim, That the Party cannot disable himfelf, shall hold good in Criminal Causes, as Felony, Murder, and Petit Treason?

w

Chi

Pi

he

of

he

to

ne

A

20

OL

01

h

de

po

ir

k

I

C

#### SOLUTION.

The Judges in Beverley's Case do affirm, That a Man who is deprived of the use of Reason and Understanding, shall not lose his Life for Felony or Murder, because the Punishment of a Felon is so grievous, fc. 1. To lose bis Life. 2. To lose bis Life in such odious manner, sc. By Hanging; for he shall be hanged between Heaven and Earth, as unworthy of both. 3. He shall lofe bis Blood, as to bis Ancestry! For he is a Son of the Earth, without any Ancestor; and as to his Posterity also, for his Blood is corrupt, and he hath neither Heir, nor Posterity. 4. His Lands. 5. His Goods. And in fuch Case the King shall have, Annum, & diem, & vastum; to the intent his Wife and Children shall be cast out, his Houses pulled down, his Trees eradicated and overthrown, his Meadows ploughed up, and all that he hath for Comfort, Delight, or Sustenance, wasted and destroyed; because that he in such felonious manner offended against the Law; and all that was, Ut pana ad paucos, metus ad omnes perveniat. But the Punishment of a Man, who is deprived of Reason and Understanding, cannot be an Example to others. Secondly, No Fe-, lony, or Murder, can be committed without a Felonious Intent, or Purpose \*: But

Furiosus non intelligit quid agit & animo, & Ratione F. N. B. 202. Stamford's Pleas caret. o non multum diftat a Brutis, as + Bracton faith, and therefore he cannot have a Felonious Intent. Also for the same Reason,

this

the

te

and

12 No

ion ale

rd

TIO

M

ok

ib

ch.

0

ds

16

DIE BE

1-

21 H. 7. 31. 26 Aff. 27 of the Crown, 16. 8. c. 9.

† Bratton, lib. 5. Tratt. 5. c.20. nu. 1. f. 420. b. & Fleta lib. 6. C. 40, MM. 1.

Non compos mentis cannot commit Petit Treason: As if a Woman Non compos mentis, kill her Husband, as appeareth, 12 H.3. Forfeiture 33. Vide Stamford, f.45. Kitchin 56. Tit. Forfeiture, Edit. 1651.

Sir

Sir Edward Coke tells us, That this Maxim, That the Party shall not disable bis

f. 247. b. Self; holdeth only in Civi Caules, but not in Crimina Caules, as Felony, &c. Fo

in such the Act and Wrong of a Mad-man shall not be in puted to him; because in those Causes, Actus non fact

Note, The Mirror of Justices Says, That King Alfred hanged Cole, for giving Sentence of Death on one Ive, when he was mad and distracted in his Wits, c. 5. \$ 1. p. 297. Edit. 1642.

Reum, mifi mens fit rea, an he is Amens (i. e.) fit mente, without his Mind of Diferetion, and Furiofus for furore puniture a Mad-mani only punished by his Madnes

cx

her

hat

Nas

Add hereunto what *Plonden*, and the Author in his Exposition of the Terms of Law, say of distracted Persons in Cases Criminal.

The former expresseth himself thus: If a Man Na

Com. fol. 19. a. Reneger & Fogassa, & f. 465. a. Eyston v. Studde.

fanæ memoriæ, kill another altho' he hath broken the words of the Law, yeth hath not broken the Law

for that he had no Memory, nor Understanding, but ma Ignorance, which came to him by the Hand of God; and therefore it is said to be involuntary Ignorance, to which the Law imputes the Act to be done, because there we no default in him; and for this he shall be excused, he being ignorant by Compulsion.

The latter speaks in this wise: When an Act of Parlia ment is made, that whole

be a Felon, and shall suffer Death; yet if a Mad-man, or an Infant of young Years, that hath no Discretion, do the same, they shall be no Felons, nor suffer Death therefore.

Having given my Reader an Account of the Common Law, relating to Non fane memories, in the point of Criminal Matters, I will present to his View, Civil Law

Texts,

# The Law of Non Compos Mentis.

exts, concerning such distracted Persons as are guilty of somicidium, or killing other Men.

Now the Civilians tell us, That fuch as kill, either Fa-

Civiner, or Mother, or those name hat are in the place of Faformer, or Mother, or any star are of next A-kin, their mishment is Death; and are the Case of the Father and Mother, the Pain of leath, the Parricide being

t th

Pæna Parricidit more majorum bæc instituta est, ut Parricida virgis sanguineis varberatus, deinde culleo insuatur cum Cane, Gallo Gallinaceo, & vipera, & simia; deinde in Mare profundum culleus jastetur, D. 48. 9. 9. Instit. 4. 18. 6.

In left well whipt, so that the Blood do follow in good lenty, he being sowed up into a Sack, together with a log, a Cock, a Viper, an Ape, is thrown into the Depth of the Sea. But if a Mad-man (fay they) should kill his lather, or Mother, &c. he shall be no way punished, ackoning that his own Furor, or Madness, is a sufficient unishment to himself.

Sane si per furorem, (saith the Lawyer Modestinus)
in liquis parentem occiderit, impunitus erit, ut divi fratres
escripserunt super eo, qui per furorem matrem necaverat.
Iam sufficere, furore ipso eum puniri, D. 48. 9. 9. 2. D.
18. 14.

Infans, vel Furiosus (saith the same Lawyer) si bomimocentia Consilii tuetur, esterum fati infesicitas excuin. D. 48. 8. 12. On which Text Gotbofredus has this
lote: Furiosum fati infesicitas a pana excusat, ideoque
m facere sed pati injuriam dicitur: Citing sor it the
lawyer Ulpian, whose Words are as follow: Sane sunt
suidam qui facere non possunt, utputa Furiosus, & immbes, qui doli capax non est; namque bi pati injuriam solut, non facere; cum enim injuria ex affectu facientis
consistat, consequens erit dicere, bos sive pulsent, sive
convicium dicunt injuriam fecisse non videri. D. 47. 10:
3. 1. Vide what the Canon Law saith of a Furor Man,
that

that kills or wounds another Person. Clement. Lib. 5. Tu. 4. de Homicidio voluntario, & casuali.

### XII. QUERY.

If a Man while be is Non compos mentis, destroys him felf, whether be can be faid to be Felo de se?

#### SOLUTION.

If a Man lose his Memory by the Rage of Sickness, or Infirmity, or otherwise, and Kill himself, while he is Pleas of the Grown, Lib. 1. c. 11.

f. 19. b. 20. s. Edit. 1567.

Non compos. mentis, he is not Felo de se: For as he

cannot commit Murther upon another, so in that Case he cannot commit Murther upon himself. If one during the time that he is Non compos mentis, giveth himself a mortal Wound, whereof he, when he hath recovered his Memory, dieth, he is not Felo de se; because the Stroke, which was the Cause of his Death, was given, when he was not Compos mentis: Et Actus non facit reus, nis

mens sit rea.

So it is said in Shelly's Case: If a Man, who is not Compos mentis, give unto himself a mortal Wound, and before he dieth, he becomes of Sane Memorie, and afterwards dieth of the same Wound; in this Case, altho' that he dieth of Sane Memorie, yet because the Original Cause of his Death, viz. the Stroke, was, when he was Non compos mentis, he shall not be Felo de se; because the Death, &c. hath relation to the Original Act, which was the Stroke, or Wound. 1 Co. f. 99. b. Shelly's Case, Vide 4 Co. f. 42. a. Heydon's Case, 22. E. 3. Corone 244. Plowden's Comm. f. 260 a.

Now let us hear what Resolution Bracton and Fleta,

two old Authors, give of this our Question.

The

Ni.

tet

281

tis

pr

114

vi

ne.

CA

m

W

be

D

th

W

u

The former speaks in this wife : De Furiofo quid ditetur, qui rationem non babet ? Et de mente Capto, & frenetico, vel si ille qui laborat in acuta infirmitate seipfum submerserit vel interfecerit, quæritur an talis feloniam faciat de se ipso? Videtur quod non, nec bæreditatem forisfaciunt nec Catalla, eo quod sensu carent, & ratione, & non magis quam Brutum animal injuriam facere possunt, nec feloniam, cum non multum distent a Bruiii. &c. Lib. 3. Tract. 2. de Corona, c. 31. f. 150. 8.

The later, viz. Fleta, does concur with Bracton, and pronounceth thus: Similiter Furiofi, Frenetici, Infaniuli & mente Capti, & in acuta febri laborantes, quamvis seipsos interfecerint non tamen Feloniam committunt, nec bæreditates foris faciunt, nec Catalla, eo quod sensa

Carent, & Ratione. Lib. 1. c. 36. de Infortuniis.

# XIII. QUERY.

Whether be that is Non compos mentis, and totally deprived of all Compassings, and Imaginations, can commit High-Treason; by Compassing or Imagining the Death of the King ?

#### SOLUTION.

Tho' all Laws do exempt a Mad-man from Punish-

ment, because their Actions are not governed by their Will, and the Will of Man being fet apart, all his Deeds are indifferent, neither can the Body offend, without a corrupt or erro-

O

ind

he he the

01his

Le.

he

ifi

7-

al

25

(e

h

c,

C

C. 9.9. 20. Tolle volunt aiem & erit omnis actus indifferens, quia affectio tua nomen imponit operi tuo, & crimen non contrahitur ni si nocendi voluntas intercedat. Bracton, l. 2. tract. 1. De Prodictionebus, c 2. nu.14.

ueous Mind; yet if a Mad-man kill, or offer to kill the King, it is High-Treason: For the King, Est Caput, & Sa-1115 omnes: And for this Cause their Persons are so sacred, that none ought to do, or offer them Violence; but he is, Reus Criminis lasa Majestatis, & pereat unus, ne pereant omnes. Thus say the Judges in Beverley's Case.

Sir Robert Holbourn, in his Reading upon the Statute of 25 E. 3. c. 2. De Pro. Reading, Printed Anno 1681. ditionibus, says thus:

All Ages are within this Law, as in Folks which have Knowledge, or Men of Non Sana Memoria, and a Mad-man is also within this Law, as to that part of the Statute, which concerns more immediately the Perfon of the King: For if any of them aforementioned in this Division, shall compass his Death, it is Treason within the first Clause; but not in the Clause of levying War : But a Man that is Surdus, cacus, & mutus, & onot within this Law; for it is impossible for him to have Understanding. And afterward he tells us, That 7. S. after he became mad kills P. 31, 32, 33, 34 the Oueen; this is Treafon within this Law : First, Because a Man may counterfeit himself to be mad; and he may do it so cunning-' ly, as it cannot be discerned, whether he be mad or no. 'The Second is, in respect of the great Esteem that the Law gives to the Person of the King; for he is the Fourtain of Justice: And for the Proof of this Point, that it may be understood, we ought to see what the Com-' mon Law was, before the making of this Statute, as to this Point; and then ought to enquire, and fee how the Law is altered, fince the making of the Statute; and by this means we shall find out the Law, and the Res ' fon thereof: It is true, that the Law without special words, will not bind an Infant, or a Mad-man, as to

ley

wa

COI

Inf

Di

wi

3.

En

tea

per

the Punishment of their Bodies; but yet it will extend to their Lands and Estates: But this our Law is no new Law, but only a declarative Law; and in that Case general words will bind an Infant, or a Mad-man, without any special words. That it was Treason at the Common Law, is ap-

parent in Britton, and the Mirror of Justice; and this Statute doth not declare, who shall be Trai-

ige

ed.

JUC

ne

Ĉ.

ite

ro.

ch

nd

of

a-

ed

on

ng

B

PC

S.

115

2-

n-

0.

-

at

-

25

d

ď

Britton, f. 16. a. c. 8. &c 22. f. 39. a. b. Mirror, c 2. \$ 11. & c. 1. \$ 4. Vide Bracton, fol. 118. b.

tors, but what shall be Treason; and therefore by this Act, it is Treason in a Mad man, or whomsoever shall commit it; for a Mad-man is not excepted out of this Law: And to make this appear more fully, you may be pleased to read the Case of Bewerley: That a Man that is Non compos mentis, may commit High-Treason, altho' he cannot commit Petty Treason, nor Felony. And so it is also in Dalton's Justice of Peace, 206. That if a Man that is Non compos mentis, shall kill the King, this is High-Treason. Nay, Bewerley's Case goes farther, and says, That if he shall offer only to kill the King, this is High-Treason.

Thus much for the Opinions of the Judges in Beverley's Case, and of Holbourn; now let us see what Sir Edward Coke says concerning Mad-men, as to the Point of

committing High-Treason.

A Man (faith he) that is Non compos mentis, or an

Infant within the Age of Discretion, is not [un Home] within the Statute of 25 E.

Coke in his Third Institutes, ol. 4.

3. c. 2. for the principal

End of Punishment is, That others by his Example may fear to offend \*, Ut pana

ad paucos, metus ad omnes perveniat. But such Punishment can be no Exam-

\* Ut unius pæna metus possit, esse multorum, D. 16.3.31. D.48.3.6. Cod. 9.27.1. D.48.19.6.1.

ple to Mad-men, or Infants, that are not of the Age of Discretion. And God forbid (quoth he) that in Cases so penal, the Law should not be certain: And if it be certain in Case of Murther and Felony, a fortiori, it ought to be certain in Case of Treason.

If a Man commit Treason, or Felony, and confesseth the same, or be thereof

Co. 3 Inft. f. 4.

Convict; if afterward he become De non (ane Me-

Ė

W

pe

ed

or

th

co

C

de

CH

Ь

ig

44

morie, (qui patitur exilium mentis) he shall not be called to answer: Or if after Judgment he become De non sane Memorie, he shall not be executed; for it cannot be an Example to others.

Add to what be said before, this which follows:

If a Mad-man had killed, or offered to kill the King, it was holden for Treason; and so it appeareth by King Alfred's Law, before the

Conquest: But now by the Statute of 25 E. 3. c. 2. and by force of these words, Fait compasser, ou imaginer la mort, he that is Non compos mentus, and totally deprived of all Compassings, and Imaginations, cannot commit Treason, by Compassing or Imagining the Death of the King: For Furiosus solo furore punitur: But it must be an absolute Madness, and a total Deprivation of Memory. And this appeareth by the Statute of 33 H. 8. for there

by it is provided, That if a

Man being Compos mentil,

commit Treason; and after

Accusation, &c. fall to Madness, that he might be tried in his Absence, &c. and suffer Death, as if he were of perfect Memory: For by this Statute of 25 E. 3. a Madman could not commit Treason. It was further provided, by the said Act of 33 H. 8. That if a Man attaintof

les

cr-

ght

eth

cof

he

10-

led

an

ng,

n;

ing

the

la

red nit

the

be

ry.

re-

ifa

is,

ter

ird

er-

ad.

vi-

nt-

ed

ed of Treason became mad; that notwithstanding he should be executed; which cruel and inhuman Law (says he) lived not long, but was repealed: For in that Point also it was against the Common Law; because by Intendment of Law, the Execution of the Offender is for Example; but so it is not, when a Mad-man is executed; but should be a miserable Spectacle, both against Law, and of extreme Inhumanity, and Cruelty, and can be no Example to others.

### XIV. QUERY.

Whether a Mad-man be punishable in Trespass?

#### SOLUTION.

In Capital Causes, in favorem vita, the Law will not punish in so high a degree, except the Malice of the Will, and Intention, apWill, and Intention, apEngland, Reg. 7. P. 31, 32.

pear: But in Civil Trespasses and Injuries, that are of an inferiour Nature, the
Law doth rather consider the Damage of the Party wronged, than the Malice of him that was the Wrong-doer:
And therefore, if an Infant, within Years of Discretion,
or a Mad-man, kill another, he shall not be impeached
thereof; but if they put out a Man's Eye, or do him like
corporal Hurt, they shall be punished in Trespass.

Concerning a Mad-man's doing a corporal Hurt, the Civil Law runs thus: Quærimus si Furiosus damnum dederit, an Legis Aquilia Actio sit? Et pegasus negavit.

Quæ enim in eo culpa sit, cum suæ mentis non sit? Et Culpam non admittit, qui boc verissimum: Cessabit suæ mentis non est. Gothofreda. igitur Aquilia Actio, quem-

admodum, si quadrupes damnum dederit, Aquilia cessat, aut si tegula ceciderit.D.9.2.5.2. G 2 XV.

# XV. QUERY.

Whether a Furor Man can be a Purchaser ?

#### SOLUTION.

A Man of Non Sane Memorie, may, without the Confent of any other, purchase Lands; but he himself can-

Coke in his Comment on Littleton, § 1. f. 2. b.

not wave it: But if he die in his Madness, or after his Memory recovered, without agreement thereunto,

9

11

d

di

di

(u

ci

736

te

po

40

90

as ft

his Heir may wave and disagree to the State, without any Cause shewed, and so of an Idiot: But if a Man of Non Sane Memorie, recovers his Memory, and agree unto it, it is unavoidable.

So it is if a Mad-man makes a Gift, or Grant, and then recovering his Wits, confirms it; this Gift, or Grant is unavoidable, as appears by Bracton and Fleta.

The former speaks thus: Convalescit Donatio facta a Furioso, si sanæ mentis effectus, donum illud consirmaverit, vel ratum babuerit. Lib. 2. cap. 5. nu. 4. s. 11. b. 12. a.

The later saith in this wise: Dare poterit Furiosus, & quandeque fatuus, dum tamen donum ex post facto confirmaverit, cum recuperaverit sanitatem. Lib. 3. cap. 3. nu. 8.

With which Authors does agree Dionysius Gothofredus's Note upon D. 24. 3. 22. 10. Furiosus ad suam mentem reversus ratam rem habere potest; Ratamque habendo facit utilem.

# XVI. QUERY.

Whether the Will of one that afterwards becomes mad, or distracted, shall stand good in Law?

#### SOLUTION.

If a Man that is of good and perfect Memory, makes his Will, and afterwards by the Visitation of God, he 4 Co. f. 61. b. Forfe, and becomes of unfound Me-Hembling's Cafe. mory, this Act of God shall not be a Revocation of his Will, which he made, when he was of good and perfect Memory. With this Resolution do concur our old Jurists, Bracton and Fleta,

1. Bracton: Furor superveniens nibil adimit non magis quam morbus incurabilis sicut Lepra : Secundum Lib. 5. Tract. 5. Cap. 20.

quod dicitur, quod multa nu. 1. f. 420. b.

as also the Texts of the Civil Law.

impediunt contrabendo, quæ non dirimunt Contractum, & ita sunt multa, que impediunt promovendo, que non dejiciunt jam promotum.

2. Fleta: Furor alienationem prius factam non perimit, & ficut multa impe-

diunt contrabenda quæ non . Lib. 6. Cap. 40. nu. 1.

17-

n-

lic

is

h-

0,

ny

075

it,

nd

nt

t-

b.

3.

5

1

0

dirimunt Contractum, ita

sunt multa, quæ impediunt promovendum, quæ non dejiciunt jam promotum.

3. Civil Texts : Neque Testamentum recte factum. neque ullum aliud negotium recte gestum, postea furor interveniens perimit. Siquis Instit. 2. 12. in Fine. post testamentum factum,

adversa valetudine, aut Inftit. 2. 12. 3. in Fine. quolibet alio casu mutus,

aut surdus esse cæperit, ratum nibilominus manet ejus Tefamentum. Vide D. 28. 1. 20. 4.

### XVII. QUERY.

Whether a Fine before a Judge, of Non sane Memorie; or a Grant of an Office made by him, be good in Law?

#### SOLUTION.

There is a Divertity taken between a Fine levied before a Judge of Non Sane Memorie, and a Grant of an Office made by him : For Si Judge, on Justice soit de non Sane Memorie, uncore les Fines, Judgements, & auters Records, que sont devant luy, serra bon: Mes e contra del done d'Office, vel bujusmodi per luy, car ces est matter en fait, & l'auters sont matters de Record, Car matters en fait poient estre avoid per non sane Memorie. Contra de matter de Record. If a Judge, or Juflice, be diffracted, yet the Fines, Judgments, and other Records that are before him shall be good: But otherwise it is, of the Grant of an Office, or the like, by him made: For this is Matter in Fact, and the others are Matters of Record; for Matters in Fact may be avoided by Non Sane Memorie; otherwise it is in the Case of Matter of Record. Br. Dum non fuit Compos mentis 7.

### XVIII. QUERY.

A Mad-man makes an Exchange of his Land, with J. S. for other Land, and the Exchange is accordingly executed, the Non sane Man dies, whether his Heir can avrid this Exchange, having first entred into the Land taken in Exchange?

1

I

I

B

R

tı

fra

in

n

I

#### SOLUTION.

If a Man of unsound Memory, being seized of Land in Fee, exchangeth the same Land with a Stranger, for another Acre of Land in Fee, and the Exchange is executed, and he of unsound Memory dieth, and his Heir enters into the Land taken in Exchange by his Father, he shall not avoid this Exchange. Perkin, Sect. 298. Tit. Exchanges.

### XIX. QUERY.

Whether, and in what Cases Lachesse can prejudice an Idiot, Mad-man, or other Non compos mentis?

#### SOLUTION.

There are some who have made a Difference between Bar of Non compos mentis's

Right, and Bar of his Entry; for in Case of Bar of Case of Non compos mentis.

his Right, his Lacheffe, or

ic;

18

oc.

an de

4

ceo

16.

u-

ife

e:

of

on

a

38

d

Negligence, shall not be prejudicial to him; but in such special Case, if he become of unsound Memory, he shall shew, that he was not Compos mentis: As if a Man Non compos mentis be disseised, and the Disseizor levieth a Finish this Case at the Common Law, altho' the Year Day be past, yet he who was Non compos mentis, shall not be bound thereby, but he may well enter; and that, they say, is proved by the Statute Demodo levandi Fines, made 18 E. 1. which is but a Declaration of the Common Law, scil. That a Fine is so high a Bar, and of so great force, and of so high nature in it self, that it barreth not only those who are Parties, and Privies to the Fine, and their Heirs, but all other of the World, who are

are of full Age. out of Prison, and of good Memory, and within the four Seas, the Day of the Fine levied, if they put not in their Claim, by their Action, or Entry, in

\* Excusatur ille (saith Fleta)
tujus intersuerit guod Clamium
infra amium & diem non apposuerit multis modis, ut si fuerit infra
ætatem, tempore quo sinis suit levatus, vel suriosus, vel mente captus, & non sanæ mentis vel Idiotus vel surdus, vel mutus, vel si
detentus suerit in Prisona, &c.
Lib. 6. c. 54. nu. 1. de Excusationibus.

the County within the Year and the Day; by which it appeareth, that no Lachesse \* of a Man Non compose mentis, shall bar him of his Right. Also it appeareth by the Statute of 4 H. 7. c. 24. That in such Case is a Man levieth a Fine with Proclamations, and at the time of the Fine levied, he

t

3

E

6

d

t

C

who hath Right, is Non compos mentis, and afterward he recovers his Memory, that in this Case he ought to sue his Action, or Entry, within five Years after he becometh of found Memory; and in fuch Case in Pleading, he shall shew, that at the time of the Fine levied, he was Non compos mentis, and all the special Matter: But if he who has fuch Right be an Idiot, or Non compos mentis, and never recovereth his Memory, the Heir may have an Action, or make his Entry when he will; for he is excepted out of the Body of the Act, and is not tied to make any Entry, or bring his Action within any time, but the Party himself, if he recover his Memory. fame Law of him who is beyond Sea, at the time of the me levied, and dieth, there his Heir may enter, or bring Action when he will: And in such Case, the Lord by Escheat shall take Advantage of his Non Sane Memorie, Infancy, Imprisonment, or being beyond Sea of his Tenant: For if Lord and Tenant be, and the Tenant be diffeized, and the Diffeizor levieth a Fine, the Diffeizee being within Age, Non compos mentis, or in Prison, or beyond the Sea, dieth without Heir, the Lord, by Escheat, shall take advantage of every of them, against

nd

ney

In

ear

it effe

pos his

eth

7. if

ith

he he

itd

n-

he

as he

is,

an

X-

to

e,

ne ne

8

y

2,

e

e

r

the Diffeizor. So if a Collateral Warranty descend upon a Non compos mentis, which he might have avoided by Entry; but an Idiot, or Non compos mentis, by their Lachesse, shall be barred of their Entry, because if they be disseized, and the Disseizor dieth seized, it shall take away their Entry; but after their Death, their Heir can enter, or take advantage of the Infirmity of their Ancestor, and his Lachesse, which shall not prejudice his Heir of his Entry; and that appeareth by Littleton, Sect. 405. For Littleton saith, No Lachesse can be adjudged by the Law, in him who hath not Discretion in such Case, scil. having regard to his Heir, and so is the difference.

### XX. QUERY.

Whether he that is a Furor Man, can be appointed Tutor?

#### SOLUTION.

He that is not 2 to Years old, or is not of perfect Mind and Memory, may be afligned Tutor: But it is to be understood, that he shall be Tutor when he is of sull Age; or when he doth return to Sanity of Mind. Swinburn in his Treatise of Testaments and Last Wills, Part 3. Sect. 10. Cowell's Institutes, Lib. 1. Tit. 14. p. 29. Edit. 1605.

Furiosus, vel minor viginti quinque annis Tutor testamento datus, Tutor func Inst. 1. 14. 2. erit, cum Compos mentis,

aut major viginti quinque annis fuerit factus, saith the Emperor Justinian.

Furiosus, (saith the Lawyer Paulus) si tutor datus suerit potest intelligi ita dari, cum suæ mentis esse cæperit.

Ulpian,

### 90 The Law of Non Compos Mentis.

Ulpian, Lib. 3. to Sabinus: Si Furiosus testamento tutor detur, si quidem, cum D. 26. 2. 10. 3. furerere desierit: Tutorem esse datum proculus ex-

istimat. quod si datus sit pure, negat proculus valere dationem. Sed est verius, quod & pomponius ait, recte videri datum, & tunc fore tutorem, cum sapere cæperit.

### XXI. QUERY.

Whether a King, during the time of his Furor, or Insanity of Mind, be capable of making Peace?

#### SOLUTION.

They that have Power to begin a War, have also Power by Articles of Agreement to end it; for every

Man is the best Moderator of his own Affairs; whence

Sue quisque rei moderator, atque Arbiter. Cod. 4. 35. 21.

on both fides publick, the

no

be

b

th

n

Power of making Peace belongs to them, who are intrusted with the Supreme Authority: As in a Government

In regnis Regum est fædus fadus facere, Grot. lib. 2. 1. 15. § 3. truly Monarchical, to the King, so as he be no ways disabled to exercise that Authority: For in Case a

King be not at Years of Discretion, or if he be not of found Memory, he is not capable of making Peace. Grotius of the Rights of War and Peace, Lib. 3. c. 20. sett. 2, 3. Vide Lib. 1. c. 3. sett. 24.

# XXII. QUERY.

Whether it be lawful to Speak Untruth to Mad-men?

## SOLUTION.

In Case (saith Grotius) we converse either with a Child, or a Mad-man, if what we say be false, it cannot be imputed as a Lye; Belli, & pacis.

because (as Quintilian saith)
it is universally permitted as prositable, to instruct Insants

by Tales and Fables; but the immediate Cause is, because not having a Freedom of Judgment, Infants, and Mad-men cannot be injured about that Liberty which they have not.

Having given the Reader an Account of the Law of England, relating to Mad-men; as also here and there made mention of the Civil Law of the Romans, I shall conclude this Second Part of my Tract, with a Synopsis of the Mad-man's Law, framed by a very Learned Civilian.

Vere furiosi (non tempore intervalli non simulati) non tenentur Parricidii. D.48.

9.9.2. Homicidii, D. 48. Dionysius Gothofredus ad 8.12. Tutelæ male admini- D. 1. 18. 13. 1.

frata, D. 26. 7.61. Inju-

um em

ex-

la.

ate.

.

ty

Ô

y

r

r

riæ, D. 47. 10. 3. 1. Etiam erga principem, c. 9. 7. Lex unic. nec possunt facere Testamentum, c. 6. 22. 9. Etiam ad pias Causas. Bartolus in l. 1. c. de Sacrosanet. Eccles. nec Codicillos, D. 29. 7. 3. nec sponsalia, D. 23. 1. 8. Nec Matrimonium, D. 23. 2. 16. 2. Nec Stipulationem, Instit. 3. 20. 8. D. 44. 7. 1. 12. D. 46. 1. 70. 4. c. 4. 38. 2. Nec quid aliud contrabere, D. 50. 17. 40. Vel agere, cum nec velle possint, D. 29. 7. 2. 3. D. 50. 17. 40. Cum absentium, & quiescentium loco habeantur, D. 50. 17. 167. (nisi ubi eorum negotia gesta sunt, D. 3. 5. 3. 5.)

5.3.5.) Et Curator eis detur, D. 27. 10.1. Præterea furiosi testes esse non possunt, D. 28. 1.20. 4. nec Judices D. 42. 1.9. nec Tutores, D. 26. 1.11. Retinent tamen statum, Dignitatem, Magistratum, potestatum, Dominium rei suæ, D. 1.5.20. Uxorem, & Matrimonium, & Jus Patriæ potestatis, D. 1.6.8. Quanquam sine eorum consensu Liberi contrabere nuptias possunt. Cod. 5. 4.25. Et dotari moderate a Parentum Curatoribus, C. 1.4.28. Observandum tamen Leonem. Novel. 111, & 112.

Hitherto of the Description, Remarks, and Questions, with their Resolutions, appertaining to such as are wholly deprived of the use of their Reason; let us now come to treat of the Law belonging to Lunaticks, or Moon-sick Persons.

PART

0

6 ien

Th cor M

to En

# PART the Third.

Of the Lunatick having sometime his Reason, and sometimes not.

#### SECT. I.

The Description of a Lunatick, and the Word, whence derived.

A S for the Origination of the word Lunaticus, Lunatick, we are told, it comes from Luna, the Moon; and so the Party is said to be Moon-sick: In Italian he is called Lunatico; in Spanish, Alunado; in the Greek Language Σεληγαζόμεν Θ, a Σελήνη, i. e. Luna; in the Teutonick he is termed, Mohn-Suchtig, a Moh, i. Luna, b Suchtig, i. agrotus, æger, ut illi, qui certis Lunaumporibus insania vexantur.

Dr. Hammond, (that learned Divine) concerning the

word Lunatick, faith thus:

rea ces

ni-

d im

is, ly

k

The word \(\Sigma\) stas, Annotation on Ver. 15. of Matoming from \(\Sigma\) sin, the thew, c. 17.

Moon, is directly parallel

to the English Lunatick, from Luna, the Moon; also the English word Lunacy, and Lunatick, is vulgarly taken to lignific a Mad-man, and nothing else, viz. That Spe-

cies of Madness which comes on Men at such a Set-time, toward the Full of the Moon, as ordinary it is observable, in those that have any Intervals.

This Lunatick, according to the Law of England, is one, that hath sometime his Intervalla hac furoris, confinia Understanding, and some

Intervalla bac furoris, confinia furoris & fanitatis dicientur, c.5. 70. 6. & c. 6. 22. 9.

time not: Aliquando gauda lucidis intervallis; and compos mentis, so long as he C

n

0

ti

F

in

te

N

bi

L

77

E

0

therefore he is called, Non compos mentis, so long as he hath not Understanding. Coke in his Comment on Littleton, sect. 405. f. 247. a.

The Judges in their Resolution in the Case of Beverley,

4 Co. f. 124. b. Case of Non compos mentis.

give this Description of a Lunatick: Lunaticus, qui gaudet lucidis intervallis; and sometimes is of sound

Memory, and fometimes is Non compos mentis.

Thus much for the Etymology, and Description of a Lunatick: Now for some Remarks relating to him.

#### SECT. II.

The Remarks concerning Lunaticks.

#### I. REMARK.

ILLI, qui quarta Luna, seu interlunio nascuntur buic morbo sunt obnoxii, nam ex opinione Astrologorum,

Interlunium dicitur id spatium temporis, in quo necamplius detus Luna apparet, nec adhuc nova videtur. fi luna fuerit male collocata, aut spasticos, aut Lunaticos, aut Caducos facit: Those that are born during the InInterlune, or Conjunction of the Sun and Moon, are liable to the Difease of Lunacy: For, according to the Opinion of Star-Gazers, if the Moon be ill fet, or placed, it causeth Men to be subject, either to Convulsions, to Lunacy, or to the Falling-fickness: And concerning the last

of thefe, Phyficians have a Rule, viz. They who are troubled with the Fallingsicknessupontbeir good Days are not accounted whole.

ė,

e,

is

is

-

d

10

t.

7,

ui

d

a,

s,

(e

e

1-

Qui Comitialem morbum habent, ne quidem diebus quibus morbo vacant, sani dicun-

## II. REMARK.

The Roman Lawyers do distinguish every where, betwixt him that is Furiofus,

and him who is Demens: For Furiofus est, qui omni intellectu caret. And therefore Nibil utiliter agit, nisi tempore dilucidi intervalli. Nam aliis bominibus conti-

C. 5. 4. 25. C. I. 4. 28. C. 5. 37. 28.

D. 50. 17. 5.

C. 5. 70. 6.

nuum furoris infortunium accidit; alios furoris morbus non sine laxamento aggreditur, sed in quibusdam temporibus quadam iis intermissio pervenit : But with them, Demens is he: Qui est mentis Errore ductus, ea Captus non usquequaque, not continually. D 2. Zouch's Elementa Jurisprud. Pars 2. sect. 4. de Valetudine Hominis.

## REMARK.

The King of England, by his Prerogative, is Summus Regni Custos, and hath the Custody of the Persons and Estates of such, as for want of Reason and Understand-

Cicero, l. Tuscul. 3. fays, Eum qui errore mentis affectus est, vetari XII. Tabulis rerum Juarum File Dominum.

ing, cannot govern themselves, or manage their Estates; so that the Persons and Estates of Lunaticks, are as well in the Custody of the King, as of Idiots; but with this difference: That of Idiots to his own use, and that of Lunaticks to the use of the next Heir. Statute of Prerogativa Regis, c. 10. 4 Co. f. 128. Beverley's Case of Non compos mentis. Stamford Super Prærog. Regis,c.10. Cowell's Institutes, Lib. 1. Tit. 23. n. 2. p. 43, 44. Edit. 1605.

Lu

by

25

c. :

the

of

Te red

not

a I

Per

and

Tel

den his

avo hat

•

oth

ver

#### IV. REMARK.

Such as by Office are untruly found Lunaticks, may have their Traverse to the same, as appears by the Statute of 2 E. 6. c. 8.

## V. REMARK.

Those that are Parties to a Fine, ought to be of good Memory, as appears by the Vide 1 R. 3. 6. 7. 6. 4 H. 7. Statute de Finibus, 18 E.1.

Vide 1 R. 3. c. 7. & 4 H. 7. Statute de Finibus, 18 E.1. Stat. 4. And therefore Lunaticks, and such as are not

of Sane Memorie, may not be received to levy a Fine; but if they be, the Fine will be good and unavoidable. Fieri non debet sed factum valet. 4 Co. 124. Beverley's Case, Sheppard's Practical Counsellor, c. 2.

## VI. REMARK.

If the Parties to whom a Right, or Title, comes, after a Fine levied be not of Sane Memorie, (a Lunatick being fuch) he, or his Heirs, have time to pursue his, or their Right or Title, within five Years after such Impersection removed: So also has he in Case, he had a Right of Title at the time of the Fine levied, 1 R. 3. c. 7. & 4 H. 7. c. 24.

## VII. REMARK.

If Tenant in Tail levy a Fine, the Issue in Tail, tho' a Lunatick at the time of the Fine levied, is barred for ever by the Fine, so levied by the Tenant in Tail, forasinuch as he is a Privy, and out of all the Savings of 4 H. 7. c. 24. 3 Co. f. 91. The Case of Fines.

#### VIII. REMARK.

It is enacted by the Statute of 34 & 35 H. 8. That the Will, or Testament made of any Mannors, Lands, 34, 6 35 H. 8. c. 5. Tenements, or other Hereditaments, by any Person De non Sane Memorie, shall not be taken to be good, or Furiosus testamentum facere non effectual in the Law : But potest, quoniam mentem non haa Lunatick in his Fits, is a bet, ut testari de ea re possit. Ul-Person of Infanity of Mind, pian. tit, 20. de Testamentis. and therefore his Will or Testament, is not valid in Law.

## IX. REMARK.

If a Person that becomes of Non sane memorie, by Accident, be disseised, and suffer a Discent, altho' he return to his former right Understanding again, yet he shall never avoid the Discent; and so it is a Fortiori of one, that hath Lucida Intervalla, Co. Litt. 247. a.

#### X. REMARK.

The Act of 23 El. c. 3. does not bar a Lunatick, or other Non compos mentis, of his Writ of Error, for reversing a Fine, so that he, or his Heirs, pursue such Writ H with-

within seven Years, after such Impersection removed; and if it happen, that he dies, hanging the Suit, his Heir may undertake it, within one Year after the seven Years.

## XI. REMARK.

If a Man during his Lunacy make a Feoffment in Fe, tho' he shall in Pleading never avoid it, by saying that he was a Lunatick, at the

time of his Feoffment, yet twelve Men, upon their Oaths may find the Truth of the Matter; and so the Feoffment may be avoided by the King, for the Benefit of the Lunatick.

## XII. REMARK.

All Acts which a Man doth during his Lunacy, are equivalent to Acts done by an

4 Co. Beverley's Case. Idiot, or he who is utterly
Non compos mentis; but

Acts done by himself, Inter lucida intervalla, when he is of found Memory, shall bind him: And this is agree-

able to what Bracton hath pronounced in the Case of such as enjoy their lucid In-

tervals; his Words are: Furiosi non multum distant a Brutis, que ratione carent, nec valere debet quod cumtalibus agitur durante furore, possunt enim quidam dilucidis gaudere intervallis, or quidam babent furorem perpetuum. Quod autem actum fuerit cum talibus tempore quo dilucidis gaudent intervallis, ratum erit ac si cum aliis ageretur, sive furorem simulaverint, sive non. With Bracton concurs Fleta, as you may see in Lib. 6. cap. 40. nu. 1.

XIII.

1

8

h

pa

to

p.

## XIII. REMARK.

If a Lunatick levy a Fine, and declares the Uses of it thereupon, by his Deed, he shall be bound, as being a part of the Operation of the Fine. Hobart's Reports 224. Needler v. Bishop of Winchester, 10 Co. 42. Mary Portington's Case, and 2 Co. f. 58. Beckwith's Case.

#### XIV. REMARK.

A Copyholder that is a Lunatick, cannot forfeit his Copyhold Estate. Sheppard, c. 22. p. 172. 4 Edit.

#### XV. REMARK.

A'Lunatick, who is Lord of a Copyhold Mannor, may grant Copyhold Estates for any time, according to the Custom of the Mannor, as any other Person may do, and the Estates made by him are unavoidable. Sheppard p. 109. 4 Co. Clerk & Pennifather's Case.

## XVI. REMARK.

If a Lunatick be Steward of a Mannor, all Acts that he doth, according to his Office, are good in Law. Sheppard's Court-Keeper's Guide, p. 115. cap. 19.

#### XVII. REMARK.

A Surrender, or Grant of Copyhold Land, may be made to a Lunatick. Sheppard's Court-Keepers Guide, c. 19. p. 118, 119.

## XVIII. REMARK.

A Lunatick, in his mad Fits, cannot Attorn to a Grant, for that he who hath no Understanding cannot make an Agreement to the Grant, Co. Lit. f. 315. a. 18 E. 3. 53. 6 Co. 69. a. Sir Moil Finch's Case. But a Man that is deaf and dumb, tho' he hath no Understanding, may Attorn by Signs. 26 E. 3. 63. Co. Lit. 315. a.

## XIX. REMARK.

If a Lunatick Man, during the time of his Furor, or Infanity of Mind, make a Feoffment, &c. he cannot enter, nor have a Writ, called Dum non fuit compos mentis; but after his Death, his Heir may well enter, or have the faid Writ at his choice. The fame Law is, where an Infant within Age makes a Feoffment, and dies, his Heir may enter, or have a Writ of Dum fuit infra etatem; But with this difference, that the Writ of Dum fuit non compos mentis, lieth for the Heir of him that was Non compos mentis, and not for himself; but a Dum fuit infra etatem, lieth as well for the Ancestor himself, after his full Age, as for his Heirs. Lit. sect. 406. Co. Lit. f. 247. b. Wingate in his Body of the Common Law of England, c. 25. n. 20, 21, 22, 23.

9

74

fu ed

1

·le

0

le

#### XX. REMARK.

A Mad-man, or a Lunatick, may be imprisoned by another, to prevent killing of him, or burning his House, and justifiable. The Lord Hobart says, That the necessity of avoiding greater Inconvenience, is a good Plea in Law; as where one kills a Thief, or a Burglar,

in defence of his Person, or House; so also is the binding

and beating of a Person Mad or Lunatick.

To prevent Mad-men from doing Mischief to themselves, or others, hear what the old Roman Law says concerning them:

Furiosi, si non possint per necessarios contineri, eo Re-

medio per præsidem obviam eundum est ; (cilicet, ut

Ulpianus.

Carcere contineantur, &

ita divus pius rescripsit. D. 1. 18. 13. 1.

Cum autem ex literis tuis cognoverimus, tali eum loco, atque ordine esse, ut a suis, vel etiam in propria villa custodiatur: Remarcus and Commodus, oecasionete facturus nobis videris, sed by a Parricide, committed so possible en pore sed by one supposed mad.

observatuus esset, vocaveris, & Causam tanta negligentia excusseris; & in unumquemque eorum, prout tibi
levari, vel onerari culpa, ejus videbitur constitueris.
Nam Custodes suriosis non ad boc solum adhibentur, nequid perniciosius ipsi in se moliantur, sed ne aliis quoque
exitio sint. Quod si committatur, non immerito culpa eorum adscribendum est qui negligentiores in officio
suo fuerint. D. 1. 18. 14. Which may be Englished thus:

Whereas we understand by your Letters, that he is kept at his Country-House, by Servants, and Friends, of his own; you shall do well to call before you, such as at that time attended him, and to examine throughly how, and by what negligence the Fact happen'd to be committed, as you shall find any of them more or less faulty, to censure them accordingly: For Guards, or Keepers, are appointed for Mad-men, not only to look that they do not Mischief to themselves; but also, that they be not destructive to others; which if it be

done, it may be well imputed to their Fault, who were more negligent than was fit in their Employment.

I cannot pass over here in Silence, the Madness of Cleomedes, the King of the Lacedemonians, and how he was handled to prevent his playing mischievous Pranks.

Si opus fit (faith the Physitian Jacobus Wickerus) fu-

In his Syntaxes Medicina, 1. 2. Pars 2. p. 308. De Furoris Curatione. riosi ligamentis constringendisunt, quemadmodum Cleomedi Lacedæmoniorum Regi contigit, qui cum ad In-

saniam redactus, sceptrum unicuique obvio in faciem impingeret, ligneis soleis constrictus est a propinquis, & in Carcerem conjectus. Fit autem non solum, ut ne aliis, sed ut nec sibi ipsis vim inferant, quam inferre aliis nequeant: Perinde ac Cleomedes, qui arrepto Custodis & Ergastularii gladio, ab ima Corporis parte ad verticem se dissecuit.

## XXI, REMARK.

In a Bill brought by the Attorney-General, in the Nature of an Information, on the behalf of a Lunatick, it has been declared, That it is as needful to make him a Party, as an Infant, where a Suit is on his behalf: But in the Case of an Idiot it must be otherwise; but a Lunatick may recover his Understanding, and then he is to have his Estate in his own disposing. Term Mich. 21. Car. 2. Woolrich a Lunatick, v. in Cancellaria.

b

H

pt

## SECT. III.

The Queries with their Solutions, relating to Lunaticks.

## I. · QUERY.

Whether the Testament made by a Lunatick, during his mad Fits, be valid in Law, when he is come to him-felf?

## SOLUTION.

Such as are Lunaticks, can make no Testament, during the time of their Furor, or Mad Fits; no, not so much as ad pios usus: Nay, the Testament made at such a time, shall not stand good, when the Madness is past. Swinburn in his Treatise of Testaments and Last Wills, Part 2. Sect. 3. Of Mad Folks, and Lunatick Persons, & Dr. Godolphin in his Tract, entituled, The Orphan's Legacy, Part 1. c. 8. nu. 2. Instit. 2. 12. 1. c. 6. 22. 9.

## II. QUERY.

Whether a Testament can be made by a Lunatick Person, betwiet his Fits?

## SOLUTION.

If a Lunatick Person hath clear, or calm Intermissions, then during the time of such their Quietness, and Freedom of Mind, he may make his Testament, appointing

pointing an Executor, and disposing of his Goods at his bleasure: So that neither the Furor, or Madness going before, nor following the making of the Testament, doth hinder the same Testament begun, and finished in the mean time.

The Lawyer Caius faith thus : Hi qui furiosi, id eft,

mente in ani fuerint, non possunt facere testamenta. Sed bii qui insani sunt : Si

intervalla ipsius insaniæ babent, per intervalla, quibus Sani sunt, possunt facere testamenta.

The Emperor Justinian speaks in this manner, both

in his Institutes, and in his Code:

Lib. 2. tit. 2. de Testamentis.

Furiosi, si per id tempus fecerint testamentum, quo furor corum intermissus est, jure testati esse videntur. Inflit. 2. 12. I.

Sancimus tale Testamentum hominis qui in ipso actu Testamenti adversa valetudine tentus est, pro nibilo esse. Si vero voluerit, in dilucidis intervallis aliquod condere Testamentum, vel ultimam voluntatem, o boc sana mente inceperit facere, & confummaverit, nullo tali morbo interveniente stare Testamentum, sive quamcunque ultimam voluntatem censemus, &c. c. 6. 22. 9.

## Ш. QUERY.

If a Testament be made by a Lunatick Person, and the time of the making unknown, whether this Testament be good, or no?

## SOLUTION.

If a Lunatick Person, or one that is besides himself at fome times, but not continually, make his Testament, and it is not known, whether the same were made whilst he was of found Mind and Memory or no; then, in case

the

t

d

b

n

f

f

ti

H

the Testament be so conceived, as thereby no Argument of Frenzy, or Folly, can be gathered, it is to be presum'd, that the same was made during the time of his Successf progress lib. 1.

Calm, and clear Intermissi-

ons; and so the Testament shall be adjudged for a good Testament. Yea, altho' it cannot be proved, that the Testator useth to have any clear and quiet Intermissions at all, yet nevertheles' its supposed, that if the Testament be wisely, and orderly framed, the same ought to be accepted for a lawful Testament. But if in the Testament there be a mixture of Wissom and Folly, 'tis presumed, that the same was made,

during the Testator's Frenzy; insomuch, that if there

Angel. in L. Furiosum, c. qui
Testa. fac. poss.

be one word founding to Folly, it is presum'd, that the Testator was not of sound Mind and Memory when he made the same: And therefore in this Colo is the To
Idem Angel, in eadem L. Furiosum.

fore in this Case is the Te-starm Angel, in eadem L. Furrojum. stament void, unless it may be proved, that there was Intermission of Furor the same time. Swinburn in his Treatise of Testaments and Last Wills, Part 2. Sect. 3. f. 38.

b. 39. a. Edit. 1590.

## IV. QUERY.

Whether the Dying scised of a Bastard Eigne, without Interruption, shall bar the Right of a Mulier Puisne, that is a Mad-man, or a Lunatick?

## SOLUTION.

According to some: If a Man be seized of Land, and hath Issue two Sons, Bastard Eigne; and Mulier Puisse, and Mulier Puisse, and the Father dieth seised, the Mulier being beyond Sea,

or within Age, or Imprisoned, or Non Sanæ Memoria, and the Bastard Eigne entreth, and continueth in peaceable possession of the Lands, and hath Issue, and dieth, and the Lands descend to his Issue, the Right of the Mulier in all the said Cases is bound for ever: And others hold the contrary.

V. QUERY.

Whether a Lunatick can be prejudiced by Laches of Juing Livery?

SOLUTION.

Sir Ralph Burcher being seised of divers Mannors in the County of York, holden in Chief, died seised Anno 40 Eliz. and the same descended to William Burcher; pre-

Lunatick Jueth not Livery; me mean Rates run against him. fently after his Death, it was found by Office before Commissioners, in the

County of Middlesex, that the said William Burcher was a Lunatick, and so had been long before the Death of his Father, and that he was seised of the said Mannors; and the Queen granted the Custody of him, and his Lands to Sir Francis Barrington. After which 42 Eliz. there was an Office found in the County of York, of the Seisin of Sir Ralph; his Death, and Heir, ut supra; and that he

And Livery was due to him, and the Law presumes that he would have sued, it being for his Benefit if he had been Compos mentis. was of full Age: And it was resolved, That the King was not to have any mean Rates in this Case for default of Livery sued, or ten-

dred; because no Lachess could be imputed unto the Heir, being Lunatick before, and ever fince the Death of his Ancestors, and the Lachess of his Friends shall not hurt him; otherwise it were, if at any time he had been Sana Memoria since the Death of his Ancestors. And there

1

was shewed unto the Judges the like Decree, made Mich. 10 Jac. in the Cause of one Vaughan, which the Attorney of the Court of Wards said, was made as a Decree of Equity; but they resolved also, it was a good Decree in Law, upon the Reason aforesaid; not because the King had seised and committed by force of the Lunacy, for that would have changed with the King's better Estate; for it is better for the King to hold for default of Livery, than for Lunacy.

a-

d

er

d

g

10

in o

6.

it

re

10

as

15

d

0

15

of ne

it

.

.

r, is

rt

2

C

S

VI. QUERY.

Whether a Lunatick-be punishable for barting a Man?

## SOLUTION.

If a Lunatick kill a Man, this is no Felony; because Felony must be done, Animo Felonico; yet in Trespass, which tends only to give Damages, according to Hurt or Loss, it is not so:

give Damages, according to Hurt or Loss, it is not so: And therefore if a Lunatick hurt a Man, he shall be answerable in Trespass, and therefore no Man shall be excused in Trespass (for this is the Nature of an Excuse, and not of a Justification, prout ei bene licuit) except it may be judged utterly without his fault.

## VII. QUERY.

Whether a Devise by a Lunatick, be aided by the Statute of 43 Eliz. Of charitable Uses?

#### SOLUTION.

Collison, 15 H. 8. devised an House in Eltham, in Kent, to Lettice his Wise,

Hobart's Rep. 136. Collison's

for Life; and after her Death, made one John Bricket, and others, Feoffees (as he called them) in the faid House, to keep it in Reparations, and to bestow the rest of the Profits upon the Reparation of certain High-ways there: Collison and his Wise are dead, and the House is descended to one Oliver Rolt, an Infant. This Case being in the Chancery between the Parishioners and Rolt, was referred by the Court to Hobart and Tansield; and they resolved clearly, that it was within the Relief of the Statute of 43 Eliz. for tho, the Devise was utterly void, yet it was within the Words, [limited and appointed to charitable Uses.] Otherwise, if he were an Infant Lunatick, or the like, that gave it, or that one appointed that that were not his own, to charitable Uses.

## VIII. QUERY.

Actions touching a Lunatick's Lands, whether they must be brought in his own Name?

## SOLUTION.

One Cockes brought an Action of Trespals of Trover, and Conversion of Beans, against Darson, and coming to Trial at the Affizes, upon

Hobart's Rep. 215. Cockes v. Not Guilty, because it was a small Cause, the Judge

took not the Jury, but directed to move the Court, and so it was; and the Cause was, That the Lands where upon the Beans grew, were a Lunatick's, and Copyhold, and the Lord had granted unto one, the Custody of the Land, by whose Leave and Assent the Plaintist did sow the Land. And the Court was of Opinion, That the Action was to be brought in the Name of the Lunatick: For there was no Interest gained in his Land by this Commitment.

That

Lu

the

wa

and

his

of

die

Na

Wb

A

I

or

mi

Con

Hi

et 1

11

eci

T

Ita

or

2:

ale

HT?

That an Action must be brought in the Name of the Lunatick, I shall subjoin what Popham has reported in the Matter.

e,

le

.

1

n

ey

0

0

1

S

The Custody of a Copyholder, that was a Lunatick. was committed to J. S. Popham's Rep. f. 141: Darcy's and for Trespass done upon Case in the Common Pleas. his Land, it was demanded

of the Court, In whose Name F. S. should bring the Adion? And their Opinion was, That it should be in the Name of the Lunatick.

## IX. QUERY.

Whether the Lord of a Mannor can grant the Custody of a Copyhold, belonging to a Lunatick, without a special Cufrom ?

SOLUTION.

Lord Chief Justice Hobart did not agree, That the ord hath power over the Hobart's Reports, f. 215, 216. unatick's Land, without a Cockes v. Darfon. ecial Custom; for the

mitation of the King's Power over Freeholds, makes no onsequence: For tho' he takes the Statute to be but an firmance of the Common Law in the Case of the King. t the Collateral Incidents of Estates, as Dower, Tenancy the Courtefie, Wardships, and the like, are not without ecial Custom.

That Copyhold Estates shall not have such Qualities as flates at Common Law, without special Custom. ore 4 Co. f. 21. Brown's Cafe, f. 22. b. River's Cafe, 23, Deal & Rigden's Case, f. 23. Bullock & Dibley's ale, Cro. Eliz. f. 391. Pl. 14. Clun v. Peafe, and mner ; and Palter v. Cornbill, f. 361. Pl. 22.

## X. QUERY.

Whether the Acts of a Lunatick, during his Intermissions, or lucid Intervals, be binding?

## SOLUTION.

The Acts that Lunatick Persons do, during the time of their Lucida Intervalla, tho' it be by Deed in the Country; as by Feossment, Obligation, or the like, shall bind them, and others concerned in it, as any other Men are by their Acts bound. Sheppard in his Abridgment, Part 2. Tit. Idiot. 4 Co. f. 125. a. Beverley's Calc of Non compos mentis. Bracton, lib. 5. tract. 5. de Exceptionibus, c. 20. nu. 1. f. 420. b. Fleta, lib. 6. c. 40 n. 1.

# XI. QUERY.

Whether the King, who is to keep the Lunatick, his Wife and Children, with the Profits of the Lands, can gran foem over to the proper use of another Person?

## SOLUTION.

In Trespass Quare clausum fregit, and cutting his Trees, in Paddington, in the County of Middlesex, by

Hil. 28. H. 8. Rot. 401. in the Common Pleas. Francis & Holms Cuse, Dyer, f. 25. b. 26. a. Pl. 164. Edit. 1688. 4 Co. 128. b. Beverley's Case.

John Francis, against William Holms. The Defendant pleaded, that it was found by Office before the Escheator of the said County of Middlesex, that the

faid John Francis was a Lunatick, and that he was seized in Fee of the Land in which, &c. for which the King seised his Person, and his Land, and by his Letters Patent

grante

ŀ

0

fo

n

W

E

pe

granted the Rule, Government, and Custody of the same Person, and Lands to the said Holmes, Quamdin, that the Person was Lunatick, to take the Profits to his own use and so justified, and prayed in Aid of the King, and thereupon it was demanded in Law, If he should have Aid or not? And it was adjudged, That he should not have Aid of the King, for this Grant was utterly void; for the King is bound to keep the Lunatick, his Wife, Children, and Houshold, with the Profits of the Lands, and without taking any thing to his own use, but all to the use of the Lunatick, and his Family, and all to the intent, that the King may provide, that he who wanteth Reason, should not alien his Lands, and waste his Goods. And the King after Office found, hath only Provision, and hath not any Custody of the Body, or Lands of a Lunatick, as he hath of an Idiot, and he hath nothing to grant over: But if the King provides one to have Care, and Charge of him, who is Non compos mentis, that his Family shall be maintained, and that nothing be wasted; or if one of his own Head taketh so much upon himself, in this Case, he is but as Bailiff of him that is Non compos mentis, and shall be accountable to him as Bailiff, or to his Executors, . or Administrators; and he cannot cut down Trees, but for necessary House-boot, Plough-boot, and Cart-boot, and to repair the ancient Pales, and all that the Bailiff may do, he may do, and not otherwise.

ne he

all

en

nt,

ald

ce-

40

qe

611

hi

by

fen

was

the

ized

ling

ent

nte

## XII. QUERY.

Whether the Committee of a Lunatick, can grant Copybold Estates?

## SOLUTION.

The Committee of a Lunatick cannot grant Copyhold Estates, but he himself may do so by his Steward, as appears by this subsequent Resolution.

A.

A. seised of a Mannor for Life, where there were many

Trin. 9 Jac. in the Court of Wards, Blewits Case, Leonard 47, 48.

Copyhold Estates, grantable by Copy of Court-Roll for Life, in Possession, and for another in Reversion,

granted the Stewardship by Deed, under his Hand and Seal, to J. S. for Life, with a Fee for executing thereof; and after he became a Lunatick, and Non compos mentis, and so was found by Inquisition, who was committed to J. D. under the Seal of the Court of Wards: The Question was, Whether the Steward, by the Consent of the Committee, or the Committee himself, by their Steward, might grant Copyhold Estates, according to the Custom of the Mannor? It was resolved by Hobart, Chief Justice, and Tansield, Chief Baron, That the said Committee could not grant any Copyhold Estate; for that they themselves, by Law, had no Estate in the Mannor, nor are Lords thereof, for the time being; but that the said Lunatick, by his Steward, might grant Copyhold Estates, according to the Custom of the Mannor.

# XIII. QUERY.

If a Dean of Paul's happen to be a Lunatick, who shall have the Custody of him?

## SOLUTION.

In the Reign of H. 8. Pace, Dean of the Cathedral Church of St. Paul, was in the Cultody of the Arch.

Vide Dyer, Edit. 1688, where this Case is cited in the Margin. the Custody of the Arch-Bilhop of Canterbury, being

Who shall have the Custody? And upon Precedents shewn, it clearly appeared, That the Arch-Bishop ought to have him in Custody, and not the King: Which Precedents were cited by one Master Eyres, in his Reading at Lincoln's-Inn.

T

# XIV. QUERY.

If a Man, in Criminal Cases, be suspected to counterfeit Madness, or Lunacy, how shall it be discerned, when ther be be mad, or no?

## SOLUTION.

Lunacy, Madness, or Frantickness, counterfeited, shall be enquired after, by an In-Savil's Rep. p. 50. nu. 105. quest impannelled for that purpole, as appears in the Case of one Somervile: For at a Meeting of the Justices, it was demanded by the Queen's Council, If Somervile, having been suspected for a Lunatick in times past, should now prove to be of the fame State, or Condition, upon his Arraignment, by Covin, or otherwise in Verity, what shall be done in the Case? To which Demand, after divers Arguments, it was answered, That an Inquest should be Impannelled to enquire, Whether it was of Malice, or no, &c.? And it was likewise resolved by the Opinion of all, That if he should be found a Lunatick by Covin, or Dissimulation, he shall be tried upon the principal Matter, and not condemned to Pain Fort, & Dures as in Cases of Felony : But if he will not answer directly, being of Sane Memorie, he shall be condemned upon a Nibil dicit; and notwithstanding he shall have the Judgment that belongs to High-Treason, and not his Penance Fort, & Dure: And if he be found a Lunatick, his Trial must be deferred till he be of found Memory. But it was fully and absolutely agreed, That if Somervile plead the General Issue, Non Culp. that if afterward he upon Evidence shall come, and not speak directly, yet he shall not be taken for a Madman, or Lunatick, for that he has once answer'd directly. . Add

Anderson 1. 107.

Anderson, where the Felon upon his Arraignment appeared to be mad; and it was held, That it should be enquired of, by an Inquest of Office; if he were mad indeed, or in shew only; and if it be found, that he does differable, then the Judge may put him to answer, if the Felon will; and if he will not answer, the Judge

Pain Fort, & Dure. may pass Judgment against

Thus much for the Law of England: Now we will fee how the Civil Law runs, in the Case of Parricide, committed by one supposed Mad, or Lunatick.

Macer lib. 2. de Judiciis publicis. D. 1. 18. 14. Commodus Scapule Tertyllo rescripferunt in bæc verba: Si tibi liquido compertum est, Ælsum priscum, in eo su-

rore esse, ut continua mentis alienatione omni intellectu careat, nec subest ulla suspitio matrem ab so simulatione

Simulatus furor pœna dignus D.1.18.13.1. in fine D.27.10.6.

dementiæ occisam, potes de modo pænæ ejus dissimulare, cum satis furore ipso punia-

tur, & tamen diligentius eustodiendus erit, ac, si putabis; etiam vinculo coercendus, quoniam tam ad panam, quam ad Tutelam ejui, & securitatem proximorum pertinebit. Si vero ut plerumque adsolet, intervallis quibusdam sensu saniore, non forte eo momento scelus admiserit, nec morbo ejus danda est venia, diligenter explorabis: Et siquid tale compereris, consules nos, ut astimemus, an per immanitatem facinoris, si, cum posset videri sentire, commiserit, supplicio adsiciendus sit. In English thus: Mareus, and Commodus, the Emperors, being consulted by Scapula Tertyllus, concerning Alius Priscus, who had killed his Mothet, advised as solloweth: If it clearly appear unto you, that Alius Priscus was so distracted, with a total continued Desect of Understanding, that there

there could be no suspition, that in a differibled Madness, his Mother was killed by him, you may delift from the Punishment of him, his Madness it self being a sufficient Punishment: Yet you are to take care, that he be kept in more closely; because such Restraint ought to be used for his Punishment and Preservation, and also for the Security of others. But if, as oftentimes it happens, his Madnels takes him by Fits, and at the time of the Villany committed he was free, he ought not to be excused by colour of his Disease; and touching this, you are to make good Enquiry, that we being informed, may determine of the Foulness of the Fact.

## XV. QUERY.

A Bargain by a Lunatick, before the Lunacy found, when ther avoidable, by being found a Lunatick, with a Retrospect of several Years

## SOLUTION.

Sir Geoffry Palmer, the King's Attorney-General, on the behalf of Ferome Smith, a Lunatick, against

Ŋ

De Term. Sanct, Mich. Anne Regis 20 Car. 2. in Cancellaria, Smith a Lamatick against Sir Robert Parkhurst.

Sir Robert Parkburft, and others.

The Bill did fuggest, that by Inquisition taken before the Mayor of London, by Virtue of a Writ to him directed, the faid Ferome Smith was the 23d of June, 1664, found a Lunatick, and had Lucid Intervals, and had not fufficient Government of Himself, his Lands, and Goods; and that he was Lunatick the last of June, 1647; and during his Lunacy he had several Sums of Money due to him, which he had wasted, and alienated divers Goods;

1 2

but to whom, the Jurors were ignorant. And did charge, that one Archibald owed the Lunatick, during his Lunacy, 1300 l. by good Security; and that in 1656, the Defendant caused the Lunatick to assign Archibald's Debt to him, and had received the same, upon Colour of a Satisfaction given to the Lunatick for the same; whereas that pretended Satisfaction was not valuable, and was done in prejudice, of the Lunatick: And to have an Account of 1300 l. and to be relieved, was the Scope of the Bill.

The Defendant fets forth by Answer, That he fold the faid ferome Smith, in 1656, a Mannor, which he much defired to buy, at 1200 l. it being the Place of his Birth; ferome Smith affigned Archibald's Debt for to satisfie himself the Purchase-Mony, and pay the Over-plus to Smith; which he did; and did convey the said Mannor to Smith, and intisfed, that Smith was not a Lunatick at that time,

and did usually buy, and fell, &c.

This being the Nature of the Case, it came first to be heard before Justice Tyrrel, who altho'it did appear, that the Defendant had conveyed the faid Mannor to Smith, for the faid 1200 l. and that Smith did at that time usually Barter, and was not found a Lunatick till eight Years after, with a Retrospect of seventeen Years, did order the Defendant to Account for the 1300 l. being Archibald's Debt, and to fatisfie the fame with Damages, without any Provision for the Defendant's having the Mannor again, or Account for the Mesne Profits. And tho' it was stood upon, at the Hearing, that in Case of a Lunatick, (where the King hath no Interest in his Estate, but as Pater Patrie, commits him to another to manage it for him, the Lunarck, in case he recover his Senses, and Wits, shall have his Estate again; and if not, it will go to his Administrators) the Lunatick himself (as in the Case of an Infant) ought to have been a Party: Yet that Opinion was overruled by the Judges, and by the Lord-Keeper, on a Rehearing: But the Lord-Keeper did stay the passing that Decree,

I

# The Law of Non Compos Mentis. 117 Decree, and gave Liberty to the Defendant to traverse the Inquisition.

Out of this Decree may be collected these Notes:

- 1. That the Party is admittable to traverse the Inquisition, if he pleases.
  - 2. That generally a Lunatick ought to be made a Party.
- 3. That the Reason why it was over-ruled, in the Case aforesaid, was, because he might stultiste himself.

## XVI. QUERY.

Whether the View of Land, according to the Statute of Westm. 2. c. 48. he grantable in all Cases to Infants, to Men in Prison, to Lunaticks, or such-like.

#### SOLUTION.

Upon these Words of the Statute of Westm. 2. c. 48 Sc. In omnibus brevibus per quæ tenementa petuntur Ratione dimissionis, &cc. Sir Edward Coke, (and that agreeable to the Books cited in his Margent) commenteth thus: Here, as in many places [Demise,] is applied to an Estate, either in Fee-simple, Fee tail, or for Term of Life, and so commonly taken in many Writs. But this Act extendeth not to every kind of Demise, or Conveyance; for if the Demise, or Conveyance, be by Fine, or other Matter of Record, this Branch extends not to it; for, regularly, Conveyances, or other Acts of Record, acknowledged, or made by one that is Non compos mentis, or by Duresse of Imprisonment, are unavoidable by him, or his Heirs, by Law; and such Conveyances, or other Acts of Record, acknowledged, or made by an Infant, are also unavoida-

ble, unless he doth avoid them by Writ of Error, or Audita Querela, during his Minority; and therefore this Branch is to be understood of Alienations made in Pais, and not by Matter of Record, Co. 2. Inft. f. 483.

Having given some Account of our Lunatick Person, and that by way of Description, Remark, and Query, I am now to speak of the Drunken, and Cup-shot Man,

that is Non compos mentis, by his own Folly.

PART

# PART the Fourth. Of Him that is Drunken.

#### SECT. I.

A Drunken Man, how described.

THE Fourth Sort of Non Sane Memories, according to the Law of England, is he that is Drunk; one, that (not by the Visitation of God, but) by his own vicious Act and Folly, is so overcome with Drink, that he is deprived, for a time, of the free Use and Exercise of his Reason and Understanding. Coke in his Comment on Littleton, sett. 405. f. 247. a.

## SECT. II.

Remarks concerning Drunkenness, and him that is Drunken.

Vertue a Stranger; God an Enemy; Blasphemy is Wit; Oaths are Rhetorick; and Secrets are Proclamations. Noah discovered that in one Hour drunk, which sober, he kept secret Six hundred Years. See Francis Quarles, in his Enchiridion, Cent. 3. cap. 14.

4 II. RE-

## II. REMARK.

Drunkenness is the Vice of Brutish Men, and of no worth, for it leads a Man to all unworthy Actions; witness Alexander, otherwise a great Prince, being overcome with this Vice, killed his dearest Friend, Clitus; and being come to himself, would have killed himself, for killing Clitus. Charron, in his Treatise of Wisdom, Lib. 3. c. 39. & Peter de la Primandaye, in his French Academy, cap. 20,

## III. REMARK.

That which we do, being Evil, is notwithstanding by so much the more pardonable, by how much the Exigence of so doing, or the Difficulty of doing otherwise is greater; unless this Necessity, or Difficulty, have originally risen from our selves; it is no Excuse therefore unto him, who being Drunk, committeth Incest, and alledgeth, that his Wits were not his own; inasmuch as himself might have chosen, whether his Wits should by that means have been taken from him. Hooker, in his Ecclesiastical Policy, Lib. 1. sett. 9. p. 69.

#### IV. REMARK.

Lor's Daughters made their Father drunk, and then

inebriaverunt Loth filia ojus, & se nescienti miscuerunt. Quaproptar culpandus est quidem: non tamen, quantum ille incestus, sed quantum illa meretur Ebrietas. Augustinus, l. 22. contra Faufum, c. 44. they lay with him; but he knew it not: Whereupon St. Augustin passeth this Sentence on him, That be deserved to be punished, not for Incest, but for his Drunkenness. Decreti Secunda

Pars Causa 15. Quæst.1.c.9. Grotius de jure belli & pacio, l.2. c.20. sett.19. in fine.

#### V. REMARK.

The Moralists in resolving the Quest. Whether Ebriety can excuse or extenuate a Fault? Windelinus's Moral Philosophy, do make a Distinction be-1.1. de Recta Vita, c.16. qu. 1. twixt Actual, and Habitual

Drunkenness: The former is, when any Man beside Intention, being ignorant as well of the Weakness of his Brain, as of the Strength of the Liquor, is overcome The latter is, when a Man is delighted with it. and knowingly, and willingly, makes himself Drunk. That of Actual Drunkenness does, they say, somewhat excuse and extenuate the Fault; and consequently, there is allowed some mitigation of the Punishment: But that which is termed Habitual Drunkenness, does not at all excuse the Fault committed, nor mitigate the Punishment. And this is that which Pittacus intended, when he enacted a Law, That such a Person as should commit a Fault in a drunken Fit, should be liable to a double Punishment; one for his Drunkenness, and the other for his Ignorance: For as in the Wine there is Poylon, so in a voluntary Ignorance there is a heinous Offence.

#### VI. REMARK.

This kind of Non compos mentis, according to our Law, shall give no Priviledge, or Benefit, to him, or his Heirs, in Civil Matters: And as for Criminal Matters, a Drunkard, who is Voluntarius Demon, hath no Priviledge thereby; but what Hurt, or Ill, foever he doth, his Drunkenness doth aggravate it : Omne Crimen Ebrietas, & incendit, & deregit, Coke in his Comment on Littleton, fect. 405. f. 247. a. Company the Trible

## SECT. III.

The Queries with their Solutions, relating to him that is Drunken.

## I. QUERY.

Whether a Man's Drunkenness can be any good Plea in in the Courts at Westminster, either in Criminal, or Civil Acts ?

#### SOLUTION.

HE Judges, in Beverley's Case, tho' they have admitted a drunken Man to be, for the time, a

of Non compos mentis.

Non compos mentis; yet 4 Co. 125. a. Beverley's Cafe have pronounced, that his Drunkenness shall not extenuate his Act, or Offence,

nor turn to his Avail, but it is a great Offence in it felf, and therefore doth aggravate his Offence, and doth not derogate from the thing he doth in that time, and that in Case as well touching his Life, as his Goods, Chattels, or Lands, or any other thing, concerning him.

The Rule, Necessitas inducit privilegium quoad Jura privata, doth vouchfafe to The Lord Bacon, in his Colleadmit an Exception, when Stion of Maxims, Regula 5. p.25. the Law doth intend forme Edit. 1639.

Fault,

Fault, or Wrong, in the Party that hath brought himself into the necessity; so that is Necessitas culpabilis; as for Example: If a Mad-man commit Felony, he shall

not lofe his Life for it. because his Infirmity came by

Co. Litt f. 247. b.

the Act of God: But if a drunken Man commit a Fe-

21 H. 7. 31.

long, he shall not be excufed, because his Imperfection came by his own default; For the Reason, and Loss of Deprivation of Will, and Election by Necessity, and by Infirmity is all one, for the lack of [Arbitrium Solutum,] is the Matter : And therefore as Infirmitas culpabilis exculeth not, no more doth Necessitas culpabilis.

So that it appears, that if one through his own fault becomes Non compos mentis, or Mad; and that if through the Violence of the same Madness, he hurt another, he hath therein committed a Crime, and deserves to be punished.

## H. QUERY.

A Drunken Person, whether be may make a Testament ?

## SOLUTION.

He, (faith Swinburn) that is overcome with Drink, during the time of his Drun-

kenness, is compared to a Treatise of Testaments, and last Mad-man ; and therefore, . Wills, Part 2. Sect. 6.

if he make his Testament

at that time, it is void in Law: Which is to be underflood, when he is so excessively drunk, that he is utterly deprived of the use of Reason and Understanding. Otherwise.

therwise, if he be not clean spent, albeit his Understanding be obscured, and his Memory troubled, yet may he make his Testament being in that Case.

We will subjoyn to what Swinburn has said for the Solution of our Question, the Words of Dr. Godolphin which are to the same effect.

Such as are drunk, during the time of being drunk, can make no Testament Orphan's Legacy, Part 1. c. 8. that shall be good in Law; yet understand (says he) this is only when he is so excess.

fively drunk, that he is altogether deprived for the time, of the use of Reason and Understanding, being, according to the Flagon-phrase, as it were, dead drunk: For is he be but so drunk, that his Understanding is but somewhat clouded, and obscured, and his Memory troubled, he may in that Case, make his Testament, and it may be good in Law. He therefore that is but exhilarated with Liquor, and thereby doth but somewhat deviate from the Rule of right Reason, is not the Person whom the Law renders at that time Intestable; but he who by a continual Custom of Toping, or by such an Excess of Drunkenness, hath so exiled his Intellects, that he hath as it were, totally lost the Rational, and reserved nothing to himself, but the Animal.

Concerning the drunken Man's Will, see more in Vasquez de Success. Crea. lib. 2. sect. 13. Requis. 7. n. 8. Simon de pratis de inter. ult. vol. lib. 2. dub. 1. soluc. 4. n. 22.

ta

## III. QUERY.

Such as violate the good Name of others, with opprobrious Words, through Weakness of their Brain, either by Frenzy, Drink, or other Lightness, how are they to be dealt withal?

## SOLUTION.

The Lawyers tell us, That defamatory Words are ut-

Rancour and Malice, by fome that envy another, with intent to defame him,

Ridley's View of the Civil and Ecclefiastical Law, Part 3. cap.7. Lett. 1.

and spread abroad a Matter of Disgrace upon him; or in some scoffing and jesting manner, so as sacetious and merry Men use to do, to make the Company merry wherein they are; or they are spoken by some that have some Weakness, or Distemperature in their Brain, either by Frenzy, Drink, or other Lightness, or by any Rashness in their Tongue.

- 1. If the Cause of such Words be Rancour, or Malice, then are they altogether to be punish'd, for that there can be no just Excuse made for them.
- 2. If they be spoken in a jesting manner, to make the Company merry, if it be in a fine fort delivered, it is by Aristotle held to be a Ver-

tue \*; but if it be in homely and gross fort delivered, Latin, Urbanitas.

then is it accounted to be a

kind of Rudeness, or Rusticity; but whether way so ever they be uttered, there is for the most part no advantage taken against them; unless thereby there follow any

Dif-

Discredit to the Party upon whom such Jests are broken;

Lusus Noxius in Culpa est, D.1. 2. 10. D. 47. 2. 50. 4. for then are they not without blame: Neither can that be called a Jeft, or Sport, whereby a Man's

good Name is hurt, or any Crime imposed upon him.

3. The like may be pronounced of such as speak hardly of any, by the Lubricity of their Tongue, or Weakness

\* Nam & personam spectandum esse, an potuerit facere, & an ante quid fecerit, & an cogitaverit & an sama mentis suerit, nec lubricum linguæ ad pænam facile trahendum est. Quamquam ii temerarii digni pæna sint, tamen ut insanis parcendum est. D. 48. 4. 4. 3.

of their Brain, through
Frenzy, or Drink, who for
that they are not thought
to speak such Words maliciously, pass for the most
part unpunished ; no, tho
a Man in this Case speak ill
of the Prince himself: And
the Civil Law is fo far from

taking hold of such Words in these Cases, that the Roman Emperors themselves, viz. Theod. Aread. and Honorius, have in an ancient Constitution, extant in the Code of Fustinian, said of them thus:

C. 9. 7. Lex unic. Siquis Imperatori malediderit.

† Drunkenness, Temulentus, Drunken, Cup-shot; of such see more, D. 48. 3. 12. D. 48. 19. 11. 2. D. 49. 16. 6. 2.

Siquis Modestia nescius, & pudoris ignarus, improbo, petulantique maledicto nomina nostra trediderit lacessenda, ac temulentia †, turbulentus obtrectator temporum nostrorum fuerit, eum

pænæ nolumus subjugari, neque durum aliquid, nec asperum volumus sustinere: Quoniam si ex levitate processerit, contemnendum est: Si ex insania, miseratione dignis-

Note, Queen Elizabeth, after mittendum \*: If any Man feed to die, was often heard to commend the Reserript of those Emperors, Cambden's Eliz. Anno 1593.

p. 411. Engl. Edit. 1635.

of Lightness, it is to be contemned; if of Madness, to be pitied; if of Injury, to be remitted.

I shall conclude the whole Tract with a remarkble Ex-

ample that I have met with-

al, and which I cannot here Peter de la Primauday, in his let go in Silence; and 'tis. French Academy, c. 36.

of the Prudence of Dionyfi-

m, the Elder, King of Syracuse, in punishing evil Speakers : 'This King being told, That two young Men, as they were drinking together, had spoken many outrageous Words of his Majesty; The King invited them both to Supper, and perceiving, that one of them, after he had taken a little Wine into his Head, uttered, and committed much Folly; and that contrariwife, the

other was very stayed, and drunk but a little, the King punished this Fellow, as one that was malicious, and

had been his Enemy of fet purpose; but forgave the other as being drunken, and moved by the Wine to

speak ill of him.

d

le

15,

to 4-17-00-178 De-Jerifre-

lan if 193.

of

6 x. L&B.

FINIS.

Books printed for, and fold by Isaac Cleave, at the Star, next Serjeants-Inn, in Chancery-Lane.

THE. Reports of William Benloe, Serjant at Law, and Will. Dallison, one of the Judges of the Court of King's-Bench.

Price 12 3.

Sir Orl. Bridgman's Conveyances, being felect Precedents of Deeds and Instruments, concerning the most considerable Essates in England; drawn and approved by that Honourable Person in the time of his Practice. 3d Edition with Addit. Pr. 12 s.

Cowell's Interpreter of the Law-Terms. Price 10 s.

Hobart's Reports. 12 5.

Bulftrode's Reports. 30 s.

Cases in Parliament upon Writs of Error. 8 s. Coke's Institutes, 2d, 3d, and 4th Parts.

Plomden's Reports. 20 s.

A Book of Entries of Declarations, Pleas, Replications, Rejoinders, Issues, Demurrers, and the other Parts of Pleading in Actions of Account against Bailists and Receivers, on the Case upon Special Agreements, Contracts, and Promises, or for Torts, General and Special, upon Breach of Statutes, and Covenants in Debt against Sherists, and other Officers, or upon Awards, Specialties, &c. in Ejectments, Assaults, Battery, False Imprisonment, Trespass, or Trover Entry of Writs of Error, with all the Proceedings in the same upon Judgment, in the Courts superiour and inseriour, in England and Ireland. 35. 6 d.

A Compleat Euide for Justices of Peace, according to the best approved Authors. In Two Parts. The First containing the Common and Statute Laws relating to the Office of a Justice of the Peace. The Second consisting of the most Authentick and Useful Precedents, which do properly concern the same. By J. Bond, of Greys-Inn, Esq. The Second Edition much enlarged, and continued down to this Time. To which is added, A Table referring to all the Statutes relating to a Justice of Peace.

By E. Bohun, Esq; 6s.

Ars Transferendi Dominium: Or, A Sure Guide to the Conveyancer. Confishing of many Observations, and various Questions, with their Resolutions, relating to Feoffments, Grants, Fines, Common Recoveries, Exchanges, Releases, Confirmations, Attornments, Surrenders, Bargains, and Sales, and De-

vifes. By J. Brydall, of Lincoln's Inn, Elq; 3 s. 6 d.

The New Atlas: Or, Travels and Voyages in Europe, Asia, Africa, and America, through the most renown'd Parts of the World, viz. From England to the Dardanelles, thence to Constantinople, Egypt, Palestine, or the Holy Land, Syria, Mesopotamia, Chaldea, Persia, East India, China, Tartary, Muscowy; and by Poland, the German Empire, Flanders, and Holland, to Spain, and the West Indies: With a brief Account of Ethiopia, and the Pilgrimages to Mecha and Medina, in Arabia, &c. By an English Gentleman, &c. 3 s.